PWG WIMS
Conference Call Minutes
August 18, 2011

W.A. Wagner, CoChairman PWG WIMS/PMP

1   Attendees

Danny Brennan
Ira McDonald   High North/Samsung
Bill Wagner   TIC
Pete Zehler   Xerox

2   General

- Meeting was convened at 1 PM EDT, August 18, 2011 by WIMS CoChair Danny Brennan and ended about 2:00 PM EDT
- Notice was made that the meeting was held in accord with the PWG Intellectual Property Policy. There were no objections.
- Minutes of the WIMS August 1 Face-to-face meeting were accepted without comment

3   Action Items Review

- Action: Mike to ping MPSA about articles work – (indicated at SC meeting that he will by Aug 20.
- Action: Ira and Peter to formulate fax modem alerts and reflect in Semantic Model - Ira has peer at Samsung to assist but nothing done yet. (This action necessary for MFD Alerts effort)
- Action: Develop approach for Imaging Power MIB Interoperability Demo (ONGOING - survey posted) - discussed further later
- Action: Bill to Recast extracted data security information from MPSA User Access Control security article as start to MPSA Data Security article (ONGOING)
- Action: Ira and Rick to work on CIM Printer Profile (deferred)

4   Consider Ira's email of 11 August ([WIMS] Should IETF EMAN register PWG power states?)

- Ira maintained that, since PWG Power MIB power states fold into DMTF power state sets, registering the PWG set and having that reference in the eman mib would be unnecessary and poor software. He indicated that having the eman MIB reference an IANA-registered PWG power state series would be like having the tail (the hardcopy device industry) wagging the dog (presumably the network infrastructure establishment.)
- Bill suggested that, although PWG Power MIB power states are derived from the DMTF states, which are an eman MIB-supported power state series, there may be advantages for hardcopy providers to use the same power state series for the eman MIB that they use for the PWG Power MIB. He observed that the eman MIB provided for accommodating alternate power state series for just this sort of purpose.
- There were no other opinions expressed.

5   Update on Interoperability Demo Survey

- Bill indicated that there were three responses so far, two in favor and one ambivalent. He acknowledged that the membership should be reminded of this survey, but suggested that, if this were to be the total response, it indicated insufficient interest in proceeding.

- Ira had many objections to the survey approach, indicated that it suggested too severe a test, that it suggested the advancement of the candidate standard to full standard which was not a reasonable thing to attempt, and that it asked companies to commit to interest in a PWG Power MIB implementation.
- Bill objected, noting that the survey allowed responders to indicate the level of test they were interested in, had an independent question about whether they wanted the standard to be advanced, and was worked so that they were not committed to anything but merely expressed their preferences. Bill further requested that, since Ira new felt so strongly about these issues, that he respond to the survey so that his opinion could be considered along with other responders.
- Ira indicated that he could not (or would not) take the survey but that WIMS should follow the example of the IPP group and just go and define a simple test, make that test available to the membership, and have test results compiled. He noted that this test need just deal with responses to read-only objects.
- Bill indicated that we would let the survey approach, which was taken with the agreement of the WIMS participants including Ira, play out at least until the next face-to-face before an alternate approach were taken.
- Ira did not agree with this and suggested that he would come up with an alternate proposal.

6   Update on CWMP BOF presentation

- There has been no contact from the Thinxtream group that presented the CWMP proposal at the August face-to-face. Bill will contact them (no response yet)
- Ira indicated that he will provide a mapping of printer management elements to the TR-069 format,

7   Review Current MFD Alerts Draft

- Ira went through the draft at ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/pmp/wd/wd-pmpmfdalerts10-20110515-rev.pdf
- Ira noted that in para 3.4, item (e), delete the word "new"
- There were no comments on the text nor on the identified issues
- Ira indicated that he would have an updated draft posted by early September.

8   Action Items

- Action: Mike to ping MPSA about articles work – (indicated at SC meeting that he will by Aug 20.)
- Action: Ira and Peter to formulate fax modem alerts and reflect in Semantic Model - Ira has peer at Samsung to assist but nothing done yet. (This action necessary for MFD Alerts effort)
- Action: Bill to Recast extracted data security information from MPSA User Access Control security article as start to MPSA Data Security article (ONGOING)
- Action: Ira and Rick to work on CIM Printer Profile (deferred)
- Action: Ira to post updated MFP Alerts specification by early September
- Action: Bill to contact Thinxstream about CWMP BOF
- Action Ira to have printer management elements to TR-069 mapping by October Face-to-face.
- Next call: Nominally 1 September, provided that there is sufficient new material to justify a call.