# PWG WIMS <u>CIM Alignment</u> Conference Call Minutes March 22, 2007

Meeting was called to order at approximately 2 p.m. EDT March 22, 2007.

#### **Attendees**

Bill Wagner	TIC
Ira McDonald	High North
Rick Landau	Dell
Craig Whittle	Sharp
Pete Zehler	Xerox
Lee Farrell	Canon
Harry Lewis	Ricoh
Jerry Thrasher	Lexmark
Walt Filbricht	Samsung

#### **General Discussion**

- Minutes from the March 8<sup>th</sup> teleconference (see ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/wims/minutes/cim 070308.pdf) were approved.
- Rick slides were reviewed presentation sent to e-mail reflector:
  - CIM Core suggested that the WIMS-CIM WG revamp the current model and add new classes and objects. They suggested adding more management information to the work product. A capabilities class (hardware-supplied value that is read-only?) was suggested to differentiate from a manager supplied value (read-write?).
  - No increase in functionality provided with the additional 6 -10 classes (15 already proposed) and an additional 15 30 instances (50 80 already planned).
  - For read-write properties (more than 2 or 3 in a class) the property should be shifted to a capabilities class.
  - These changes add significant complexity creating additional adoption barriers for potential implementers.

- Three alternatives were proposed:
  - Proposal #1: simplify by reducing scope (remove less commonly used management functions—classes and/or properties, e.g., output tray).
  - Proposal #2: focus on read-only properties only (not requiring capabilities class)—this may be the common for proxy implementations.
  - Proposal #3: Change priority of classes / properties focusing on most used / needed management objects (e.g., alerts over channels).
- Consensus: Reexamine management functions with the restrictions in mind with an objective to simply effort. Perhaps the focus should be on classes that do not require a separate capabilities class (with some exemptions).
- If new capabilities classes are used, two new properties should be used: ElementNameEnabledProperty (and mask).
- Rick suggested that Harry send a mail note to Winston encouraging CIM Core to give feedback earlier in the review cycle to minimize rework.
- Lee suggested we step back further and examine our ultimate goal of having Web-based imaging services.
- Rick explained that anything that goes into the MOF will go into Web services using the automated tools
- Ira expressed concern for a deviation from SM 1.0
- Harry suggested that the CIM path was the more "credible path" or "visible path" when first proposed.
- Can we leverage WS-\* (print and possibly scan) to shorten the path to an Imaging Web service definition?

## **Counter Specification:**

- Ira to adopt the proposed changes to the counter specification by Bill:
  - 1. "raw traffic" change to "activity" or "workload", or include definition
  - 2. add definition of "Single-sided" as a synonym of "Simplex"

### **Next Steps / Open Actions:**

- WIMS-CIM WG to consider alternative paths to Web services for imaging devices.
  - o Including proposals suggested by Rick.
- Next telecom scheduled for April 5, 2007