The Printer Working Group

1 **IPP Job Save Password**
2 **(SAVEPASSWORD)**

3 Status: Interim

4 Abstract: This white paper defines a new "job-save-accesses" operation attribute and
5 associated semantics that provides IPP with a mechanism to associate access credentials
6 that the Printer will require at any printing time, including re-printing that Job if it was
7 saved.

8 This document is a White Paper. For a definition of a "White Paper", see:
9 http://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/general/pwg-process30.pdf

10 This document is available electronically at:

11 http://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ipp/whitepaper/tb-savepassword-20180313.odt
12 http://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ipp/whitepaper/tb-savepassword-20180313.pdf

14   Title: IPP Job Save Password *(SAVEPASSWORD)*

Table of Contents

64

List of Figures

65　　**List of Tables**

1   Introduction

Users and network administrators are increasingly concerned about network and data security, and this extends to printing. Most all Users are familiar with sending a Job to a Printer and the Printer processing that Job fairly immediately, and some do so using a "job password" that prevents the Job from being processed until the User provides that password on the Printer's control panel to approve its release to processing. The IPP "job-password" operation attribute [PWG5100.11] and related attributes provide support for this workflow. Some Printers also support saving jobs for later printing or re-printing. In certain cases there may be Users that wish to take advantage of both capabilities. Unfortunately however, since "job-password" is an operation attribute, and that Job's processing is the act of saving the Job, the "job-password" attribute does not persist beyond its being saved. Therefore, to support scenarios involving a password protected saved job, new attributes need to be defined that convey a Job password that persists beyond Job processing completion.

2   Terminology

2.1   Protocol Roles Terminology

This document defines the following protocol roles in order to specify unambiguous conformance requirements:

Client: Initiator of outgoing IPP session requests and sender of outgoing IPP operation requests (Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1 [RFC7230] User Agent).

Printer: Listener for incoming IPP session requests and receiver of incoming IPP operation requests (Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1 [RFC7230] Server) that represents one or more Physical Devices or a Logical Device.

2.2   Other Terms Used in This Document

User: A person or automata using a Client to communicate with a Printer.

2.3   Acronyms and Organizations

IANA: Internet Assigned Numbers Authority, http://www.iana.org/

IETF: Internet Engineering Task Force, http://www.ietf.org/

ISO: International Organization for Standardization, http://www.iso.org/

PWG: Printer Working Group, http://www.pwg.org/

3   Requirements for IPP Job Save Password

3.1   Use Cases

3.1.1 Protecting a Saved Document with a Persistent Password

Wilma has written a document that she intends to save on her departmental MFD, to allow some of her peers to print copies as needed. But as the document contains sensitive information, Wilma wishes to only allow those who know the job's password to re-print copies. She is familiar with providing a password when configuring a print job, and she is also familiar with configuring the job to be saved in the printer. In the print dialog used to configure the print job on her computer, Wilma provides a password, and also chooses to have the job saved. Wilma clicks "Print" and the computer submits the job to the printer. The printer saves the job content and protects it with the password provided.

3.1.2 Re-printing a Saved Job Via Printer Control Panel

Barney hears from Wilma that she has saved that document to the departmental MFD. Wilma tells Barney the job's name, and Barney then goes to the MFD and looks up the job. He taps on the control panel to have a copy printed, and is prompted to enter the job's password. He enters that on the control panel, and the MFD prints a copy. Barney collects it from the output bin and returns to his desk.

3.1.3 Re-printing a Saved Job Using An IPP Client

Barney sends an IM to Betty that Wilma has saved a job on the departmental MFD. Betty opens her computer's print system and browses the saved jobs on the MFD. She selects the job and clicks "Print" to have a copy made for her. A dialog is presented asking for the job's password. Betty types in the job's password, and the MFD prints a copy. She collects it from the MFD and returns to her office.

3.2   Exceptions

Harvey, an employee from another department, walks up to Wilma's departmental MFD. The .

3.3   Out of Scope

The following are considered out of scope for this document:

1. How the Document or Documents in a Job are stored by the Printer
2. Methods for encrypting the document itself.
3. Mechanisms for supporting per-user credentials / access control list for releasing the stored job.

3.4   Design Requirements

The design requirements for this document are:

1. Use existing attributes or collections if possible.
2. Support at the least the fidelity supported currently by "job password" and "job-password-encryption"
3. Register all attributes and operations with IANA

The design recommendations for this document are:

1. Reusing UI controls with similar enough purposes so that the user doesn't need to be confused by e.g. needing to interact with different controls for different kinds of passwords.

4   Operation Attributes

4.1   job-save-accesses (collection | no-value)

The OPTIONAL "job-save-accesses" operation attribute allows the Client to provide authentication information for a referenced saved Job.

The collection value contains zero of more member attributes which provide the authentication information required for the Job to be reprinted. A Client MAY also provide the no- value out-of-band value to specify that no authentication information is necessary.

Printers specify which member attributes are supported using the "job-save-accesses-supported" Printer attribute (section XXX).

4.1.1   access-oauth-token (1setOf octetString(MAX))

The OPTIONAL "access-oauth-token" member attribute provides a Base64-encoded OAuth Access Token as defined in The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Framework [RFC6749]. When the size of the access token exceeds 1023 octets (the maximum size of an octetString value), the Client separates the token into multiple octetString values and sends the result as an ordered set to the Printer. The Printer reassembles each octetString to produce the complete access token value to be used to access the Document URI.

Printers that support this attribute MUST list 'access-oauth-token' in the "job-save-accesses-supported" Printer Description attribute.

4.1.2   access-oauth-uri (uri)

The OPTIONAL "access-oauth-uri" member attribute is the authorization server that issued the "access-oauth-token" member attribute. See Authorization Server [RFC6749] section 1.1.

4.1.3 access-password (text(MAX))

The OPTIONAL "access-password" member attribute provides a password string, typically for HTTP Basic Authentication [RFC7617] or HTTP Digest authentication [RFC7616]. Clients MUST provide the password using the UTF-8 encoding [STD63] in Unicode Normalization Form C as required for Network Unicode [RFC5198]. Printers MUST convert the password, as needed, to whatever encoding is required to access the Document URI.

Printers that support this attribute MUST list 'access-password' in the "job-save-accesses-supported" Printer Description attribute.

4.1.4 access-pin (text(MAX))

The OPTIONAL "access-pin" member attribute provides a Personal Identification Number string. Clients MUST restrict the characters to the US ASCII digits '0' (code 48) through '9' (code 57) and Printers MUST reject values containing characters other than the digits '0' through '9'.

Printers that support this attribute MUST list 'access-pin' in the "job-save-accesses-supported" Printer Description attribute.

4.1.5 access-user-name (text(MAX))

The OPTIONAL "access-user-name" member attribute provides a user name string, typically for HTTP Basic or Digest authentication [RFC2617]. Clients MUST provide the user name using the UTF-8 encoding [STD63] in Unicode Normalization Form C as required for Network Unicode [RFC5198]. Printers MUST convert the user name, as needed, to whatever encoding is required by the Document URI.

Printers that support this attribute MUST list 'access-user-name' in the "job-save-accesses-supported" Printer Description attribute.

4.1.6 access-x509-certificate (1setOf octetString(MAX))

The OPTIONAL "access-x509-certificate" member attribute provides a PEM-encoded X.509 certificate identifying the User or Client that is making the request. When the size of the certificate exceeds 1023 octets (the maximum size of an octetString value), the Client separates the certificate into multiple octetString values and sends the result as an ordered set to the Printer. The Printer reassembles each octetString to produce the complete X.509 certificate to be used to access the Document URI.

Printers that support this attribute MUST list 'access-x509-certificate' in the "job-save-accesses-supported" Printer Description attribute and MUST provide an implementation-defined method for loading the corresponding private key that is used for authenticating the holder of the X.509 certificate.

5   Printer Description Attributes

5.1   job-save-accesses-supported (1setOf (type2 keyword))

The "job-save-accesses-supported" Printer Description attribute specifies which member attributes the Printer supports in the "job-save-accesses" operation attribute. This attribute MUST be supported if the "job-save-accesses" operation attribute is supported.

6   Additional Semantics for Existing Operations

6.1   Print-Job, Print-URI, Create-Job: job-save-accesses

This specification adds the new "job-save-accesses" operation attribute to the Print-Job, Print-URI, and Create-Job operation requests [RFC8011] to specify the persistent access credentials for a Job created by one of these operations. The "job-save-accesses" attribute gets copied to the Job Object, but the Printer MUST NOT include a Job's "job-save-accesses" attribute as a Job Description attribute in a Job operation such as Get-Job-Attributes [RFC8011].

7   Internationalization Considerations

For interoperability and basic support for multiple languages, conforming implementations MUST support the Universal Character Set (UCS) Transformation Format -- 8 bit (UTF-8) [RFC3629] encoding of Unicode [UNICODE] [ISO10646] and the Unicode Format for Network Interchange [RFC5198].

Implementations of this specification SHOULD conform to the following standards on processing of human-readable Unicode text strings, see:

- Unicode Bidirectional Algorithm [UAX9] – left-to-right, right-to-left, and vertical

- Unicode Line Breaking Algorithm [UAX14] – character classes and wrapping

- Unicode Normalization Forms [UAX15] – especially NFC for [RFC5198]

- Unicode Text Segmentation [UAX29] – grapheme clusters, words, sentences

- Unicode Identifier and Pattern Syntax [UAX31] – identifier use and normalization

- Unicode Collation Algorithm [UTS10] – sorting

- Unicode Locale Data Markup Language [UTS35] – locale databases

Implementations of this specification are advised to also review the following informational documents on processing of human-readable Unicode text strings:

223　　• Unicode Character Encoding Model [UTR17] – multi-layer character model

224　　• Unicode in XML and other Markup Languages [UTR20] – XML usage

225　　• Unicode Character Property Model [UTR23] – character properties

226　　• Unicode Conformance Model [UTR33] – Unicode conformance basis

8 Security Considerations

228　The IPP extensions defined in this document require the same security considerations as
229　defined in the IPP/1.1: Model and Semantics [RFC8011], IPP: Job and Printer Extensions
230　– Set 2 (JPS2), and IPP Job Password Repertoire.

231　In addition to those requirements, the Printer MUST protect the values of "job-save-
232　accesses" at rest. Also, the Printer MUST reject any IPP operation sent over a non-
233　encrypted connection that includes the "job-save-accesses" attribute.

8.1 Human-readable Strings

235　Implementations of this specification SHOULD conform to the following standard on
236　processing of human-readable Unicode text strings, see:

237　　• Unicode Security Mechanisms [UTS39] – detecting and avoiding security attacks

238　Implementations of this specification are advised to also review the following informational
239　document on processing of human-readable Unicode text strings:

240　　• Unicode Security FAQ [UNISECFAQ] – common Unicode security issues

9 IANA Considerations

9.1 Attribute Registrations

243　The attributes defined in this document will be published by IANA according to the
244　procedures in IPP Model and Semantics [RFC8011] section 6.2 in the following file:

245　　http://www.iana.org/assignments/ipp-registrations

246　The registry entries will contain the following information:

```
247    Operation attributes:                            Reference
248    --------------------------------             ----------------
249    job-save-accesses (collection | no-value)        [SAVEPASSWORD]
250      access-oauth-token (1setOf octetString(MAX))  [SAVEPASSWORD]
```

```
251     access-oauth-uri (uri)                          [SAVEPASSWORD]
252     access-password (text(MAX))                     [SAVEPASSWORD]
253     access-pin (text(MAX))                          [SAVEPASSWORD]
254     access-user-name(text(MAX))                     [SAVEPASSWORD]
255     access-x509-certificate (1setOf octetString(MAX))
256                                                     [SAVEPASSWORD]

257   Printer Description attributes:                   Reference
258   -------------------------------          ----------------
259   job-save-accesses-configured (1setOf (type2 keyword))
260                                                     [SAVEPASSWORD]
261   job-save-accesses-supported (1setOf (type2 keyword))
262                                                     [SAVEPASSWORD]
```

10   References

10.1 Normative References

[IPPREPERTOIRE] S. Kennedy, "IPP Job Password Repertoire", January 2016, https://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ipp/whitepaper/wp-job-password-repertoire-20160101.pdf

[ISO10646]   "Information technology -- Universal Coded Character Set (UCS)", ISO/IEC 10646:2011

[PWG5100.5]   D. Carney, T. Hastings, P. Zehler. "Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): Document Object", PWG 5100.5-2003, October 2003, http://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/candidates/cs-ippdocobject10-20031031-5100.5.pdf

[PWG5100.11]   T. Hastings, D. Fullman, "IPP: Job and Printer Extensions – Set 2 (JPS2)", PWG 5100.11-2010, October 2010, https://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/candidates/cs-ippjobprinterext10-20101030-5100.11.pdf

[PWG5100.12]   R. Bergman, H. Lewis, I. McDonald, M. Sweet, "IPP Version 2.0, 2.1, and 2.2", PWG 5100.12-2015, October 2015, http://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/standards/std-ipp20-20151030-5100.12.pdf

[PWG5100.13]   M. Sweet, I. McDonald, P. Zehler, "IPP: Job and Printer Extensions - Set 3 (JPS3)", PWG 5100.13-2012, July 2012, http://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/candidates/cs-ippjobprinterext3v10-20120727-5100.13.pdf

285 [PWG5100.19]   S. Kennedy, "IPP Implementor's Guide v2.0", PWG 5100.19-2015,
286   August 2015, http://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/candidates/cs-ippig20-
287   20150821-5100.19.pdf

288 [RFC2817]   R. Khare, S. Lawrence, "Upgrading to TLS Within HTTP/1.1", RFC
289   2817, May 2000, https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2817.txt

290 [RFC3510]   R. Herriot, I. McDonald, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: IPP URL
291   Scheme", RFC 3510, April 2003, https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3510

292 [RFC3629]   F. Yergeau, "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646", RFC
293   3629, November 2003, https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3629.txt

294 [RFC5198]   J. Klensin, M. Padlipsky, "Unicode Format for Network Interchange",
295   RFC 5198, March 2008, https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5198.txt

296 [RFC7616]   R. Shekh-Yusef, Ed., D. Ahrens, S. Bremer, "HTTP Digest Access
297   Authentication", RFC 7616, September 2015,
298   https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7616.txt

299 [RFC7617]   J. Reschke, "The 'Basic' HTTP Authentication Scheme", RFC 7617,
300   September 2015, https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7617.txt

301 [RFC7230]   R. Fielding, J. Reschke, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1):
302   Message Syntax and Routing", RFC 7230, June 2014,
303   http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7230.txt

304 [RFC7472]   I. McDonald, M. Sweet, "Internet Printing Protocol (IPP) over HTTPS
305   Transport Binding and the 'ipps' URI Scheme", RFC 7472, March
306   2015, https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7472

307 [RFC8010]   M. Sweet, I. McDonald, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and
308   Transport", RFC 8010, January 2017,
309   https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc8010.txt

310 [RFC8011]   M. Sweet, I. McDonald, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and
311   Semantics", RFC 8011, January 2017,
312   https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc8011.txt

313 [UAX9]   Unicode Consortium, "Unicode Bidirectional Algorithm", UAX#9, May
314   2016, http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr9

315 [UAX14]   Unicode Consortium, "Unicode Line Breaking Algorithm", UAX#14,
316   June 2016, http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr14

317 [UAX15]   Unicode Consortium, "Normalization Forms", UAX#15, February 2016,
318   http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr15

319　[UAX29]　　　　Unicode Consortium, "Unicode Text Segmentation", UAX#29, June
320　　　　　　　　　2016, http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr29

321　[UAX31]　　　　Unicode Consortium, "Unicode Identifier and Pattern Syntax",
322　　　　　　　　　UAX#31, May 2016, http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr31

323　[UNICODE]　　　The Unicode Consortium, "Unicode® 10.0.0", June 2017,
324　　　　　　　　　http://unicode.org/versions/Unicode10.0.0/

325　[UTS10]　　　　Unicode Consortium, "Unicode Collation Algorithm", UTS#10, May
326　　　　　　　　　2016, http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr10

327　[UTS35]　　　　Unicode Consortium, "Unicode Locale Data Markup Language",
328　　　　　　　　　UTS#35, October 2016, http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr35

329　[UTS39]　　　　Unicode Consortium, "Unicode Security Mechanisms", UTS#39, June
330　　　　　　　　　2016, http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr39

331　10.2 Informative References

332　[IANA-IPP]　　　IANA Internet Printing Protocol (IPP) Registrations,
333　　　　　　　　　http://www.iana.org/assignments/ipp-registrations

334　[UNISECFAQ]　　Unicode Consortium "Unicode Security FAQ", November2016,
335　　　　　　　　　http://www.unicode.org/faq/security.html

336　[UTR17]　　　　Unicode Consortium "Unicode Character Encoding Model", UTR#17,
337　　　　　　　　　November 2008, http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr17

338　[UTR20]　　　　Unicode Consortium "Unicode in XML and other Markup Languages",
339　　　　　　　　　UTR#20, January 2013, http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr20

340　[UTR23]　　　　Unicode Consortium "Unicode Character Property Model", UTR#23,
341　　　　　　　　　May 2015, http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr23

342　[UTR33]　　　　Unicode Consortium "Unicode Conformance Model", UTR#33,
343　　　　　　　　　November 2008, http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr33

344　11　Authors' Addresses

345　Primary authors :

346　　　　Smith Kennedy
347　　　　HP Inc.
348　　　　11311 Chinden Blvd.
349　　　　Boise, Idaho, 83714
350　　　　smith.kennedy@hp.com

351 The authors would also like to thank the following individuals for their contributions to this
352 standard:

353   Ira McDonald – High North Inc.
354   Mike Sweet – Apple Inc.

12 Change History

12.1 March 13, 2018

Updated as per feedback from IPP WG reflector:

- Fixed the abstract to make it less redundantly redundant.

- Fixed RFC references for HTTP Basic and Digest authentication

- Removed "job-save-accesses-configured" (but I still don't understand why some use the "xxx" / "xxx-supported" model while others use "xxx" / "xxx-configured" / "xxx-supported"...)

- Added new "Additional Semantics for Existing Operations" section

- Updated Security Considerations

12.2 March 11, 2018

Updated as per feedback from February 2018 PWG F2F review:

- Refactored the attributes used to leverage the attributes used in IPP Shared Infrastructure Extensions and IPP Scan Service. This model is more appropriate since job-save and its members become Job Description attributes, which are required to be accessible via a Get-Job-Attributes operation. Access to the credentials, even if hashed, would be unacceptable.

- Propose this be moved to IPP Registration candidate status

12.3 February 5, 2018

Updated as per feedback from Dec. 14, 2017 IPP WG teleconference review:

- Updated Use Cases, Out of Scope and Design Requirements sections

- Refactored to make the solution become member attributes of job-save, with associated Printer Description attributes.

12.4 December 5, 2017

Initial revision.