1	INTERNET-DRAFT Tom Hastings
2	Xerox Corporation
3	Bob Herriot
4	Sun Microsystems, Inc.
5	Norm Jacobs
6	Sun Microsystems, Inc.
7	Jay Martin
8	Underscore, Inc.
9	<u>July</u> <del>June</del> 13, 1997
10	
11	Mapping <u>between</u> of <u>LPR/LPD</u> and to IPP <u>Protocols</u>
12	<draft-ietf-ipp-<del>lpr-lpd-ipp-map-??.txt&gt;</draft-ietf-ipp-<del>
13	Expires Jan <u>13</u> 25, 1998
14	
15	Status of this Memo
16	This document is an Internet-Draft. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the
17	Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note
18	that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.
19	Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be
20	updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is
21	inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than
22	as "work in progress."
	1 0
23	To learn the current status of any Internet-Draft, please check the "lid-
24	abstracts.txt" listing contained in the Internet-Drafts Shadow Directories on
25	ftp.is.co.za (Africa), nic.nordu.net (Europe), munnari.oz.au (Pacific Rim),
26	ds.internic.net (US East Coast), or ftp.isi.edu (US West Coast).
27	Abstract
28	This Internet-Draft specifies the mapping betweenof (1) the commands and
29	operands of the "Line Printer Daemon (LPD) Protocol" specified in RFC 1179 and
30	(2)to the operations and parameters of the Internet Printing Protocol (IPP). One
31	of the purposes of this document is to compare the functionality of the two
32	protocols. Another purpose is to facilitate implementation of gateways between
33	LPD <u>and<del>to</del> IPP-gateway</u> .
34	WARNING: RFC 1179 was not on standards track. While RFC 1179 was
35	intended to record existing practice, in some areas it fell short. However, this
36	specification maps between (1) the actual current practice of RFC 1179 and (2)
30 37	IPP. This document does not attempt to map the numerous divergent extensions
38	to the LPD protocol that have been made by many implementors.
70	to the Li D protocor that have been made by many implementors.

39	
40	

TA	DI		$\cdot$		IT	NTS	•
I #	۱DL	 UГ		Uľ	N I	4 I Z	•

41	1. INTRODUCTION
42	2. MAPPING OF LPD COMMANDS TO IPP OPERATIONS
43	2.1 01 - Print any waiting jobs (Printer queue name)
44	2.2 02 - Receive a printer job (Printer queue name)
45	2.3 03 - Send queue state (short) (Printer queue name [, User Name,][, job numbers,])
46	2.4 04 - Send queue state (long) (Printer queue name [, User Name,][, job numbers,])
47	2.5 05 - Remove jobs (Printer queue name[, User name,][, job number,])
48	3. MAPPING OF LPD SUB-COMMANDS TO IPP OPERATIONS
49	3.1 01 - Abort job ()
50	3.2 02 - Receive control file (Number of bytes in control file, Name of control file)
51	3.3 03 - Receive data file (Number of bytes in data file, Name of data file)
52 53	4. MAPPING OF LPD CONTROL FILE LINES TO IPP OPERATION INPUT PARAMETERS10
54	5. APPENDIX - RELATIONSHIP OF RFC 1179 TO EXISTING PRACTICE4
55 56	6. BIBLIOGRAPHY12

### 57 <u>Mapping between the LPD and to IPP Protocols-Mapping</u>

58	1. Introduction
59 60 61 62	The reader of this specification is expected to be familiar with the IPP Model and Semantics specification [1], and the IPP Protocol specification [2], and . Less familiarity with the Line Printer Daemon (LPD) protocol specification [3] is assumed as described specified in RFC 1179.
63 64 65 66 67 68 69	RFC 1179 was written in 1990 in an attempt to document existing <u>LPD protocol</u> implementationspractice using printers that were mainly fixed pitch character cell printers. Since then, a number of undocumented extensions have been made by vendors to support functionality specific to their printing solutions. All of these extensions consist of additional control file directives. This document does not address any of these vendor extensions. Rather it addresses existing practice within the context of the features described by RFC 1179. Deviations of existing practice from RFC 1179 are so indicated.
70 71 72 73 74 75 76	In the area of document formats, also known as page description languages (PDL), RFC 1179 defines a fixed set with no capability for extension. Consequently, some new PDL's are not supported, and some of those that are supported are sufficiently unimportant now that they have not been registered for use with the Printer MIB[4] and IPP[1] [2], though they could be registered if desired. See the Printer MIB specification [4] and/or the IPP Model specification [1] for instructions for registration of document-formats with IANA. IANA lists the registered document-formats as "printer languages".
77 78 79 80 81 82	Since then a number of page description languages have emerged. Consequently, many of the commands that relate to document format specify document formats which have not been registered for use with the Printer MIB [4] and the Internet Printing Protocol (IPP) [1], [2]. Other LPD commands are intended to work on "text" only formats and so are inappropriate for many contemporary document formats that completely specify each page.
83 84	This document addresses the protocol mapping for both directions: mapping of the LPD protocol to the IPP protocol and mapping of the IPP protocol to the LPD protocol.
85	2. Terminology
86 87 88	The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [6].

89 The syntax operands of the LPD commands is given appear in braces parens in the sub-90 headings-using ABNF [6?]. Optional input parameters are indicated inside square brackets ([]). Repeated input parameters are indicated with an ellipsis ("..."). 91 92 The following tokens are used in order to make the syntax more readable: 93 LF stands for %x0A (linefeed) 94 SP stands for %x20. (space) 3. Mapping between of LPD Commands andto IPP Operations 95 96 This section describes the mapping between from LPD on-the wire ocommands and to IPP operations. Each of the following sub-sections appear as sub-sections of section 5 of RFC 97 98 1179. 99 3.1 Print any waiting jobs (Printer queue name) \1printer\n 100 Command syntax: %x01 Printer-queue-name LF 101 In LPD, this comment starts the daemon, if it isn't already running. Such an equivalent operation is not provided in IPP, since the IPP Printer is assumed to always be running, 102 103 where as in LPD, the client makes sure that the daemon is running using this command. 104 If an LPD-to-IPP mapper receives this LPD command, it SHALL ignore it and send no 105 IPP operation. An IPP-to-LPD mapper SHALL send this LPD command after it has finished sending all 106 107 pending 'Receive a printer job' commands. 108 When recieved, this command is ignored. Under IPP, printers are always assumed to be processing their queue, unless specifically disabled. When passing jobs from an IPP server 109 to an LPD server, this command should be generated after a stream of print request have 110 been transfered to the LPD based server. Under LPD, the assumption is that no process is 111 112 waiting to process a print queue unless there are jobs in the queue, and LPD has been told 113 to print any wating jobs. 114 3.2 02 - Receive a printer job (Printer queue name) \2printer\n 115 Command syntax: %x02 Printer-queue-name LF 116 An LPD-to-IPP mapper SHALL map the 'Receive a printer job' command touse either: 117 the Print-Job operation with a single data file or

the Create-Job operation followed by a Send-Document operation for each

data file<del>document</del>.

118

119

## Mapping between of LPR/LPD and to IPP Protocols

120 121	If a job consists of a single data filedocument, the PrintJob operation is RECOMMENDED.
122 123 124 125 126	If a job consists of more than one data filedocument, Create Job followed by Send-Document for each data filedocument is REQUIRED. If the IPP Printer doesn't support the Create-Job and Send-Document operations, the LPD-to-IPP mapper SHALLshall submit each data file as a separate Print-Job operation (thereby converting a single LPD job into multiple IPP jobs).reject the job and return an error.
127 128	ISSUE: Ok that I changed so that the mapper shall break a multi-document job into separate jobs, one IPP job for each LPD data file, instead of error return?
129 130	NOTE: if Create-Job is used, it MUSTmust precede the Send-Document operation even if the LPD control file, which supplies attributes for Create-Job, arrives after all documents.
131 132	An IPP-to-LPD mapper SHALL map the following IPP operations to in this LPD command:
133	• Print-Job
134	• <u>Print-uri</u>
135	Create-Job followed by Send-Document or Send-URI for each document
136 137	The mechanism for mapping between an LPD Printer-queuename operand and the IPP "printer-uri" parameter is not defined in this document.
138	ISSUE: error code conversion.
139 140 141	It is my belief that the described method is overly complex. From an implementation stand point, the way I am suggesting below is more consistent, and will require less special case code in an implementation. I would suggest the following:
142 143 144 145	This command is equivalent to an IPP Create Job and a Send-Document operation for each job data file within the request. Success or failure return codes as described in RFC-1179 shall be generated equivalent to the return codes generated from performing the IPP Operations. The printer queue name operand is maps to the IPP Printer URL.
146 147 148 149 150 151 152	It's important to note that the IPP protocol requires that the client supply input parameters before submitting the document data. It's also important to note that RFC 1179 does not specify an ordering for passing job document data and job control data between client and server. Most implementations of RFC-1179 pass print job document data files before passing the job control data file. The end result of alll of this is that an LPD to IPP gateway is likely to have to receive the entire LPD job before performing any IPP operations.
153 154	This command is equivalent to the IPP Print-Job operation when only one data file is submitted and is equivalent to the IPP Create Job and Send Document operations when

more than one file is submitted. Success or error codes are produced by the LPD 155 command that the client shall read and the IPP operations returns equivalent status code 156 results. The LPD queue name operand is equivalent to the IPP Printer URL. 157 Unlike LPD, an IPP protocol requires that the client supply input parameters before the 158 159 document data. 160 See the next section for the mapping of the LPD "second level commands" to IPP input-161 parameters. 162 3.2 03 - Send queue state (short) (Printer queue name [, User Name, ...][, job numbers, ...]) \3printer {user/job ...}\n 163 164 Command syntax: %x03 Printer-queue-name \*( SP ( User-Name / job-number) ) RGH: the syntax is long enough here that I think it doesn't belong in the header. 165 If the LPD command contains only the Printer-queue-name operand, Tthe LPD-to-IPP 166 mapper SHALL use the Get-Attributes operation of the corresponding IPP Pprinter to get 167 printer-state information and either the Get-Jobs operation of the Pprinter or Get-168 169 Attributes to each specified job to get information about all of the jobs. With Get-Attributes, it SHALL get shall-requestuse the "printer-state" and "printer-state-reasons" 170 attributes. With Get-Jobs, it SHALL requestuse the "number-of-intervening-jobs", "job-171 originating-user", "job-name", "document-name" (or "document-uri"), and "job-k-octets". 172 173 (Need to check that this is correct). 174 NOTE: RFC 1179 does not specify what attributes are returned in response to a 'Send queue state' (short) command, but leaves it up to implementation. The IPP attributes 175 specified in this specification reflect existing practice. 176 177 NOTE: This specification does *not* specify how the LPD-to-IPP mapper maps: (1) the 178 LPD Printer-queue-name operand to the IPP "printer-uri" parameter or (2) the LPD job-179 number operand to the IPP "job-uri" parameter, since the format of these URIs is opaque 180 in the IPP protocol and is implementation-dependent. 181 It SHALL format that information as follows: 182 (See PSIS for exact spacing). 183 Printer-state/printer-state-reason (or equivalent) Rank Owner Job Files 184 **Total Size** 185 1st owner 21 motd, vfstab 355 bytes

If the LPD command contains specifies one or more User-name operands or job numbers,

the LPD-to-IPP mapper SHALL get all the jobs as above using the Get-Jobs operation on

186

187

188	the Printer and then do its own filtering on the returned value of the "job-originating-user"
189	attribute for each job.
190 191 192 193 194	If the LPD command contains only job-number operands, the LPD-to-IPP mapper SHALL either (1) get all the jobs as above using the Get-Jobs operation on the Printer and then do its own filtering or (2) get each specified job individually using separate Get-Attributes operations (multiple jobs may be requested in a single IPP connection with multiple Get-Attribute operations, one for each job).
195	The IPP-to-LPD mapper shall use the long version of this command. See that command.
196 197	This command with only the Printer queue name operand is equivalent to the IPP Get- Jobs operation when the client supplies a (short) list of requested attribute names.
198 199 200 201	This command with the Printer queue name operand and one job number is equivalent to the IPP Get Attributes operation when the client supplies a job URI and a (short) list of requested attribute names. Multiple jobs may be requested in IPP in a single connection with multiple Get Attribute operations.
202 203 204	There is no way in IPP to request jobs by user name. The IPP WG removed the "user-name" input parameter during development of IPP. The IPP client will have to filter out jobs specified users.
205 206 207 208	Unless the job URI is easily derived from the supplied information, I would suggest that the same filtering mechanism be used to select print jobs when one a job is specified.  Also, I would add the following:
209	The response must be returned in the following format: (from a BSD 4.3 LPD server)
210	printer-state-reason (or equivalent)
211	Rank Owner Job Files Total Size
212	1st owner 21 motd, vfstab 355 bytes
213	<u></u>
214	
215 216	ISSUE: do we want to add make the user-name attribute we deleted last meeting?
217 218	3.2 04—Send queue state (long) (Printer queue name [, User Name,][, job numbers,])
219	Command syntax: %x04 printer-name *( SP ( user-name / job-number) )

- Same mapping as the 'Send queue state' (short) command. The IPP client supplies a
- longer list of requested attributes to the Get-Jobs or Get-Attributes operations.
- 222 The LPD-to-IPP mapper should specify additional attributes than the ones listed for the
- 223 'Send queue state' (short) command. Again the response must be in a format consistent
- with the output of lpq -1 from a BSD 4.3 LPD server.
- NOTE: RFC 1179 does not specify what attributes are returned in response to a 'Send'
- queue state' (short) command, but leaves it up to implementation.
- The IPP-to-LPD mapper shall use this command to get what attributes it can from the
- 228 LPD server. We should list what this set is. I think the PSIS may help.
- 229 3.2 05 Remove jobs (Printer queue name[, User name, ...][, job number, ...])
- 230 \\\
  \frac{5printer user \{job/user ...\}}{}
- 231 <u>Command syntax: %x05 Printer-queue-name SP agent \*(SP (User-name / job-number)</u>)
- 232 The agent operand is the user-name of the user initiating the 'Remove jobs' command.
- 233 The special user-name 'root' indicates a privileged user.
- The LPD-to-IPP<del>IPP-to-LPD</del> mapper shall map this command to use the Cancel-Job
- 235 operation to cancel a job.
- 236 This command with the Printer\_-queue\_-name operand and one job\_-number operand is the
- same as the IPP Cancel-Job operation when the client supplies just the job URI. Multiple
- jobs may be canceled in IPP in a single connection with multiple Cancel-Job operations.
- 239 <u>In IPP</u> only a privileged operator may cancel jobs belonging to another user.
- NOTE: This specification does *not* specify how the LPD-to-IPP mapper maps: (1) the
- 241 <u>LPD Printer-queue-name to the IPP "printer-uri" or (2) the LPD job-number to the IPP</u>
- 242 "job-uri", since the format of these URIs is opaque in the IPP protocol and is
- implementation-dependent.
- There is no IPP equivalent for the LPD <u>'Remove jobs' command with just the Printer-</u>
- 245 queue--name operand supplied, since IPP provides no way to cancel the current job.
- There is no IPP equivalent for the LPD 'Remove jobs' command with a User-name
- operand supplied, since IPP provides no way to cancel a job that requires root privileges
- 248 to cancel jobs specified by user name.
- 249 The LPD-to-IPP mapper shall map use this command for a Cancel-Job operation to this
- command.
- 251 There are some major issues about setting the agent.

252	4. Mapping between of LPD Sub-Commands and to IPP Operations
<ul><li>253</li><li>254</li><li>255</li></ul>	This section describes the mapping <u>betweenfrom</u> LPD sub-commands <u>andto</u> IPP operations. Each of the following sub-sections appear as sub-sections of section 6 of RFC 1179. The operands of the sub-commands appear in parens in the sub-headings
256	4.1 01 - Abort job () <u>\1\n</u>
257	Sub-command syntax: %x01
258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269	This sub-command is intended to abort any job transfer in process. If an IPP Create-Job operation and/or a Send-Document operation were performed on behalf of the receive job command that is being aborted, an equivalent to the IPP Cancel-Job operation should be issued for the when the client supplies the job URI that was returned by the Printer on which the Create-Job operation was performed. Also, any temporary files created while processing the 'Receive job request' should be cleaned up, and the connection to the client should be closed. Finally, this sub-command is implied if at any time the connection between the LPD RFC-1179 client and server is terminated before an entire print job has been transferred via an LPDRFC-1179 'Receive job request'. or when the client closes the connection.  ISSUE: is IPP defined at this point to abort a job whose connection is closed before the job has been fully received. If so, that is an alternate and simpler way to abort the job.
<ul><li>270</li><li>271</li></ul>	4.2 02 - Receive control file (Number of bytes in control file, Name of control file)
272	Sub-command syntax: %x02 Number-of-bytes-in-control-file, Name-of-control-file
<ul><li>273</li><li>274</li><li>275</li><li>276</li></ul>	This sub-command is <u>roughly</u> equivalent to the IPP <u>Create-Job Send-Document</u> operation Once the control file has been has been received, it's contents should be translated, and an <u>appropriate IPP Create-Job operation performed</u> . when the client supplies the job URI returned by the IPP Create Job operation.
277 278	However, some information, such as Document-Name go in the Send-Document operation.
279 280	4.3 03 - Receive data file (Number of bytes in data file, Name of data file) \\ \lambda \) \( \lambd
281	Sub-command syntax: %x03 Number-of-bytes-in-data-file Name-of-data-file
282 283	This sub-command is <u>roughly</u> equivalent to the IPP Send-Document operation. <u>If the</u> control file has been previously received, and it's corresponding IPP Create-Job operation

Mapping between of LPR/LPD and to IPP Protocols

284 285	-	formed, an IPP Send-Document of the light place in the job URI returned by the light place.	peration can be performed using when the client IPP Create-Job operation.
286 287 288 289 290 291 292	data arbi hov or r	a MUST be specified. Unfortunate itrary length data file. This is despected the description isn't complete eceiving arbitrary length data files	at operationis performed, the size of the document ely RFC-1179 aleludes to a method for passing an ecribed as being done by using an octet-count of zero, te, and in practice, no implementations allow sending s. As in LPD, the client may specify the entire size of teng in order to push an arbitrary and unknown
293	5.	Mapping of LPD control fil	le lines to IPP Operation Input Parameters
<ul><li>294</li><li>295</li><li>296</li><li>297</li></ul>	para foll	ameters for the Print-Job, Create-J	om LPD control file lines to IPP operation input Job, and Send-Document operations. Each of the sections of section 7 of RFC 1179. The operands of in the sub-headings.
298	<u>ISS</u>	UE: somewhere, we need to map	the LPD query format to IPP attributes.
299 300 301 302	In LPD text operands have a maximum length of 31 or 99 while IPP input parameters have a maximum of 255 characters. Therefore, no data is lost when mapping converting from LPD to IPP. However, when mapping from IPP to LPD, there may be some data loss if the IPP parameters exceed the maximum length of the LPD equivalent operands.		
303 304 305	In the following table, IPP input parameter names are indicated in double quotes (") and input parameter values are indicated in single quotes ('). Values of the IPP <u>"'</u> document-format" attribute that could be registered, but are not currently, are indicated with "**".		
306 307 308	We need the mapping both directions. Where there is a one-to-one mapping, both directions are specified. Where IPP has none, the I think that in-LPD-to-IPP the attribute is ignored, and in the IPP-to-LPD the LPD feature is left unspecified.		
		LPD command	Equivalent IPP input parameter(s)
	С	Class for banner page	None. LPD default = "job originating host" why is this here. If there is no mapping, then leav it out. LPD doesn't actually default to filling in a Chostname in the control file, but instead puts the hostname on the burst page if no class is specified.
	<u>H</u>	Originating Host	"job-originating-host"
	I	Indent Printing	None. IPP WG deleted "left-margin"
	J	Job name for banner page	"job-name"

#### Mapping between of LPR/LPD and to IPP Protocols

L	Print banner page	"job-sheets" = any but 'none' <u>Absence of an 'L'</u> directive indicates that "job-sheets=none" is set.
M	Mail When Printed	"notification-events" = 'job-completion' and "notification-method" = 'mailto://Job-originating- user@job-originating-hostUser Name'
N	Name of source file	"document-name" This is on a per data file basis
P	User identification	"job-originating-user"
S	Symbolic link data	None."document-uri" in combination with Send- URI operation
T	Title for pr	None. IPP WG deleted "heading" input parameter.
U	Unlink data file	None.
W	Width of output	None. IPP WG deleted "width" input parameter
1	troff R font	None.
2	troff I font	None.
3	troff B font	None <u>.</u>
4	troff S font	None <u>.</u>
c	Plot CIF file	"document-format" = 'CIF' **
d	Print DVI file	"document-format" = 'TeX DVI' **
f	Print formatted file	"document-format" = ' <u>Automatic<del>autosense</del>SimpleText</u> '
		In practice, Tthis value is often overloaded. It is often used with any format of document data including PostScript and PCL data.
g	Plot file	"document-format" = 'BSDPlotLibrary' **
k	reserved for Kerberized clients	None <u>.</u>
	and servers	This is unimplemented in LPD implementations. It was a place holder for future work that never occurred.
1	Print file leaving control	"document-format" = 'Automaticautosense' none
	characters	In practice, Tthis is often used as a rough equivalent to the 'f' directive. Again it may mean one of many document formats.

Print ditroff output file "document-format" = 'ditroff' \*\* n Print Postscript output file "document-format" = 'ps' o "document-format" = 'PSapplication/postscript'(7) o is recognized by LPD-to-IPP, but never generated in IPP-to-LPD. Rather 'f' is used. This was not implemented in any RFC-1179 implementations until very recently in WinNT. None. The IPP WG deleted "headers" = 'title' and Print file with 'pr' format p "paginate". It therefore is equivalent to 'f' or 'l' "document-format" = 'FORTRAN' \*\* File to print with FORTRAN r carriage control Print troff output file "document-format" = 'troff' \*\* t Print raster file "document-format" = 'RasteorFormat' \*\* v reserved for future use with None. the Palladium print system This was reserved for the MIT Palladium print system, but was never used by that systemis unimplemented. 6. Appendix - Relationship of RFC 1179 to Existing Practice 309 RFC 1179 was an attempt to document existing practice. However, many 310 311 implementations implement the "f" and "p" commands as 'auto-sense', that is the server 312 attempts to determine the document format by examining the document data, rather than 313 assuming that the document is simple ASCII. Also at the time of writing, no 314 implementations implemented the "o" to indicate the emerging PostScript document 315 format. Since then an implementation has supported the "o" command to indicate 316 PostScript. 6. Bibliography 317 318 [1] R. deBry, T. Hastings, R. Herriot, S. Isaacson, P. Powell, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Model and Semantics", <draft-ietf-ipp-model-02.txt>, July 1997. 319 320 [2] R. Herriot, S. Butler, P. Moore, R. Turner, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Protocol 321 specification", <draft-ietf-ipp-protocol-00.txt>, July 1997. 322 [3] L. McLaughlin, RFC 1179, "Line Printer Daemon Protocol", RFC 1179, August 1990.

# Mapping <u>between of LPR/LPD and to IPP Protocols</u> June 2<u>7</u>9, 1997

323 324	[4] Smith, R., Wright, F., Hastings, T., Zilles, S., and Gyllenskog, J., "Printer MIB", RFC 1759, March 1995Printer MIB.
325 326	[5] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", RFC 2119, March 1997
327 328	[6] D. Crocker et al., "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", draft-ietf-drums-abnf-02.txt.
329	7. Author's Addresses
330	Thomas N. Hastings
331	Xerox Corporation
332	701 S. Aviation Blvd., ESAE-231
333	El Segundo, CA 90245
334	<u> </u>
335	Phone: 310-333-6413
336	Fax: 310-333-5514
337	EMail: hastings@cp10.es.xerox.com
338	2
339	Robert Herriot
340	Sun Microsystems Inc.
341	2550 Garcia Ave., MPK-17
342	Mountain View, CA 94043
343	
344	Phone: 415-786-8995
345	Fax: 415-786-7077
346	Email: robert.herriot@eng.sun.com
347	
348	Norm Jacobs
349	Sun Microsystems Inc.
350	1430 Owl Ridge Rd.
351	Colorado Springs, CO 80919
352	
353	Phone: (719) 532-9927
354	Fax: (719) 535-0956
355	Email: Norm.Jacobs@Central.sun.com
356	
357	Jay Martin
358	<u>Underscore Inc.</u>
359	<u>??????????????</u>
360	Nashua, NH ?????
361	

#### Mapping between of LPR/LPD and to IPP Protocols J

362	Phone: (603) 889-7000	
363	Fax: (603) ???-????	
364	Email: jkm@underscore.com	
365	-	