1	INTERNET-DRAFT There are 5 ISSUES highlighted like this.			
2	<draft-ietf-ipp-not<u>ify-send-ntfy-delivery-00.txt></draft-ietf-ipp-not<u>			
3	Hugo Parra			
4 5	Novell, Inc. Tom Hastings			
6	Xerox Corp.			
7	February 2, 2000 December 9, 1999			
8	Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: The 'ipp-ntfy' notify-send' Notification Delivery Method and Protocol			
9	Copyright (C) The Internet Society (1999). All Rights Reserved.			
10 11	ISSUE 01 - What should the name of this delivery method and protocol be that we use in the title of this document?			
12	ISSUE 02 - What should the scheme name be? Consider 'ipp-notify-send' a working title, until we see			
13	several schemes. The 'ipp-notify-poll' delivery method is another example. The IETF likes words or well-			
14	recognized acronyms, not abbreviations in scheme names, so lets include "notify"?			
15	ISSUE 03 - Should the scheme name be used in the title?			
16	Status of this Memo			
17 18 19	[rfc2026]. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas,			
20 21 22	obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or			
23	The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt			
24	The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed as http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.			
25	Abstract			
26 27 28 29 30	The IPP event notification specification [ipp-ntfy] is an OPTIONAL extension to IPP/1.0 and IPP/1.1. [ipp-ntfy] requires the definition of one or more delivery methods for dispatching event notification reports to Notification Recipients. This document describes the semantics and syntax of the 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' event notification delivery method that is itself a request/response protocol. For this delivery method, an IPP Printer sends (pushes) IPP event Notifications to the Notification Recipients using the protocol defined herein which includes HTTP as a transport.			

Parra, Hastings [page 1]

- 32 The full set of IPP documents includes:
- Design Goals for an Internet Printing Protocol [RFC2567]
- Rationale for the Structure and Model and Protocol for the Internet Printing Protocol [RFC2568]
- Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics (this document)
- Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport [ipp-pro]
- 37 Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Implementer's Guide [ipp-iig]
- 38 Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols [RFC2569]

- 40 The "Design Goals for an Internet Printing Protocol" document takes a broad look at distributed printing
- 41 functionality, and it enumerates real-life scenarios that help to clarify the features that need to be included
- 42 in a printing protocol for the Internet. It identifies requirements for three types of users: end users,
- operators, and administrators. It calls out a subset of end user requirements that are satisfied in IPP/1.0. A
- few OPTIONAL operator operations have been added to IPP/1.1.
- 45 The "Rationale for the Structure and Model and Protocol for the Internet Printing Protocol" document
- describes IPP from a high level view, defines a roadmap for the various documents that form the suite of
- 47 IPP specification documents, and gives background and rationale for the IETF working group's major
- 48 decisions.
- 49 The "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport" document is a formal mapping of the abstract
- operations and attributes defined in the model document onto HTTP/1.1 [RFC2616]. It defines the
- 51 encoding rules for a new Internet MIME media type called "application/ipp". This document also defines
- 52 the rules for transporting a message body over HTTP whose Content-Type is "application/ipp". This
- document defines a new scheme named 'ipp' for identifying IPP printers and jobs.
- 54 The "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Implementer's Guide" document gives insight and advice to
- implementers of IPP clients and IPP objects. It is intended to help them understand IPP/1.1 and some of the
- 56 considerations that may assist them in the design of their client and/or IPP object implementations. For
- 57 example, a typical order of processing requests is given, including error checking. Motivation for some of
- 58 the specification decisions is also included.
- 59 The "Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols" document gives some advice to implementers of gateways
- between IPP and LPD (Line Printer Daemon) implementations.

Expires: Aug 2, 2000

62	Table of Contents		
63	1 Introduction	4	
64	2 Terminology	4	
65	3 Model and Operation	4	
66 67 68 69 70	4.1 SEND-NOTIFICATIONS OPERATION	6 	
71 72 73 74 75 76	5.2.2 unable-to-delivery-notification-report (0xZZZZ)	3	
77	6 Encoding of Transport Layer	10	
78	7 IANA Considerations	10	
79	8 Internationalization Considerations	11	
80 81	9 Security Considerations 9.1 Security Conformance		
82	10 References	11	
83	11 Author's Addresses	12	
84 85	12 Full Copyright Statement	12	

87

1 Introduction

- 88 IPP Printers that support the OPTIONAL IPP event notification extension [ipp-ntfy] either a) accept, store,
- and use notification Subscriptions to generate notification reports and implement one or more delivery
- 90 methods for notifying interested parties, or b) support a subset of these tasks and farm out the remaining
- 91 tasks to a Notification Delivery Service. The 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' event notification delivery method
- specified in this document is itself a request/response protocol that may be used in a variety of notification
- scenarios. Its primary intended use is for IPP Printers to send (push) event notifications to Notification
- 94 Recipients using the IPP Send-Notifications operation over HTTP. However, it may also be used by IPP
- 95 Printers to send notification to Notification Services and by Notification Delivery Services to send
- 96 notifications to the Ultimate Notification Recipients (see [ipp-ntfy]). Furthermore, this protocol can be
- 97 extended in the future to add other operations, such as querying a Notification Recipient for its capabilities.

98 2 Terminology

- This document uses terms such as "attributes", "keywords", and "support". These terms have special
- meaning and are defined in the model terminology [ipp-mod] section 12.2.
- 101 Capitalized terms, such as MUST, MUST NOT, REQUIRED, SHOULD, SHOULD NOT, MAY, NEED
- NOT, and OPTIONAL, have special meaning relating to conformance. These terms are defined in [ipp-
- mod] section 12.1 on conformance terminology, most of which is taken from RFC 2119 [RFC2119].
- This section defines the following additional terms that are used throughout this document:
- REQUIRED: if an implementation supports the extensions described in this document, it MUST support a REQUIRED feature.
- OPTIONAL: if an implementation supports the extensions described in this document, it MAY support an OPTIONAL feature.
- Event Notification (Notification for short) See [ip-ntfy]
- 110 Notification Source See [ipp-ntfy]
- Notification Recipient See [ipp-ntfy]
- Subscription object See [ipp-ntfy]
- 113 Ultimate Notification Recipient See [ipp-ntfy]

114 3 Model and Operation

- In the IPP Notification Model [ipp-ntfy], one or more Per-Job Subscriptions can be supplied in the Job
- 116 Creation operation or OPTIONALLY as subsequent Create-Job-Subscription operations; one Per-Printer
- Subscription can be supplied in the Create-Printer operation. The client that creates these Subscription
- objects becomes the owner of the Subscription object.
- When creating each Subscription object, the client supplies the "notify-recipient" (uri) attribute. The
- "notify-recipient" attribute specifies both a single Notification Recipient that is to receive the Notifications

- when subsequent events occur and the method for notification delivery that the IPP Printer is to use. For
- the Notification delivery method defined in this document, the notification method is 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-
- send' and the rest of the URI is the address of the Notification Recipient to which the IPP Printer will send
- the Send-Notifications operations using HTTP as a transport.
- The 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' event notification delivery method defined in this document is also a
- 126 client/server protocol. The "client" in this HTTP relationship is the Notification Source described in [ipp-
- 127 ntfy] while the "server" is the Notification Recipient. The Notification Source invokes the Send-
- Notifications operation supported by the 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' notification protocol to communicate IPP
- event Notification contents to the Notification Recipient. The Notification Recipient only conveys
- information to the Notification Source in the form of responses to the operations initiated by the
- 131 Notification Source.

- All requests defined for this protocol will be issued as HTTP POST operations and their corresponding
- HTTP notification responses will be returned in the responses to those HTTP POST operations. Hence,
- Notification Sources that implement the 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' delivery method and protocol will need to
- include an HTTP client stack while notification recipients that implement this protocol will need to support
- an HTTP server stack (see section 6 for more details).

4 Notification Operations

- The Notification Source composes the information defined for an IPP Notification [ipp-ntfy] and sends it
- using the Sent-Notifications operation to the Notification Recipient supplied in the Subscription object.
- The 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' delivery method and notification protocol makes extensive use of the
- operations model defined by IPP [rfc2566]. This includes, the use of a URI as the identifier for the target of
- each operation, the inclusion of a version number, operation-id, and request-id in each request, and the
- definition of attribute groups. The Send-Notifications operation uses the Operation Attributes group, but
- currently has no need for the Unsupported Attributes, Printer Object Attributes, and Job-Object Attributes
- groups. However, it uses a new attribute group, the Generic Notification Attributes group.
- 146 ISSUE 04 Ok to add a "Generic Attributes" group tag to [ipp-pro], instead of adding a special tag for each
- 147 new object and/or operation that needs a different set of attributes than Job or Printer? The same issue for
- 148 the Subscription object in [ipp-ntfy]. Either we define separate tags for both or use a single generic tag for
- both and future objects and attribute groups.
- In its 1.0 version, the 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' delivery method and notification protocol is composed of a
- single operation, but may be extended in the future as needed (e.g., to find out specific capabilities of an
- 152 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' Notification Recipient). The operation currently defined is Send-Notifications.

4.1 Send-Notifications Operation

- 154 This REQUIRED operation allows a Notification Source to send one or more Notifications to a Notification
- Recipient using HTTP. The operation has been tailored to accommodate the current definition of IPP
- 156 Notification [ipp-ntfy].

153

159

163

165

167168

169 170

171172

173

174175

176

177

178179

180

181182

183

184

185

- Both Machine-Consumable and Human-Consumable notifications may be sent to a Notification Recipient
- through this operation.

4.1.1 Send-Notifications Request

- 160 The following groups of attributes are part of the Send-Notifications Request:
- 161 Group 1: Operation Attributes
- Natural Language and Character Set:

The "attributes-charset" and "attributes-natural-language" attributes ads defined in [rfc 2566]

section 3.1.4.1.

Target:

The URI of the 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' Notification Recipient.

Group 2 to N: Notification Attributes

"human-readable-report" (text)

The 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' Notification Source OPTIONALLY supports this attribute. This attribute is a text string generated by the IPP printer or Notification Delivery Service from the contents of the IPP Notification suitable for human consumption. If the Notification Source supports this attribute, it MUST supply this attribute if the Subscription object contains the "notify-text-format" (mimeMediaType) attribute. The text value of this attribute MUST be localized in the charset identified by the "notify-charset" (charset) attribute and the natural language identified by the notify-natural-language" (naturalLanguage) attribute supplied in the associated Subscription object that generates this event Notification. The format of the text value is specified by the value of the "notify-text-format" (mimeMediaType) supplied in the associated Subscription object.

ISSUE 04 - "human-readable-report" has been added to the [ipp-ntfy] Notification Model document, so ok to change this description to be a reference to "human-readable-report" in [ipp-ntfy]?

ISSUE 5—Ok to extend Notification Model to allow a single notification to have both Human Consumable form and Machine Consumable form when the client asks for Human Consumable form by supplying the "notify-text-format" attribute rather than the Human Consumable being sent instead or in addition to the Machine Consumable using MIME multi-part related?

Parra, Hastings [page 6]

- All of the REQUIRED attributes and any of the OPTIONAL attributes indicated in [ipp-ntfy] for a Push event Notification, including "notify-text-format-type" (mimeMediaType), if the "human-readable-report" (text) attribute is included, so that the Notification Recipient will know the text format of the "human-readable-report" (text) attribute value.
- 190 These attributes communicate the same information as the notification attributes by the same name
- described in sections 7.4, 7.5, and 7.6 of [ipp-ntfy]. The rules that govern when each individual attribute
- MUST or MAY be included in this operation precisely mirror those specified in [ipp-ntfy].

4.1.2 Send-Notifications Response

- The 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' Notification Recipient returns a status code for the entire operation and one for
- each Notification Report in the request if the operation's status code is other than "success-ok". If the 'ipp-
- 196 ntfyipp-notify-send' notification listener receives a Notification report that it can't pair up with a
- subscription it knows about, it can return an error status-code to indicate that events associated with that
- subscription should no longer be sent to it.
- 199 Group 1: Operation Attributes
- Natural Language and Character Set:
- The "attributes-charset" and "attributes-natural-language" attributes ads defined in [rfc 2566] section
- 202 3.1.4.1.

193

- 203 Group 2 to N: Notification Attributes
- "notification-report-status-code" (type2 enum)
- Indicates whether the 'ipp ntfyipp-notify-send' Notification Recipient was able to consume the n-th
- Notification Report.

207 4.2 Notification Protocol URI Scheme

- The 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' event notification delivery method uses the 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' URI
- scheme in the "notify-recipients" attribute in the Subscription object in order to indicate the event
- 210 notification delivery method defined in this document. The remainder of the URI indicates the host and
- address of the Notification Recipient that is to receive the Send-Notification operation.
- 212 REPEAT OF ISSUE 02 What should the scheme name be? Consider 'ipp-notify-send' a working title,
- 213 until we see several schemes.

5 Encoding of the Operation Layer

- The 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' event notification delivery method and protocol uses the same operation layer
- 216 encoding model and syntax as IPP [ipp-pro] with two extensions:

217 5.1 New attribute tag:

218 a) A new notification attributes tag is defined:

219 $\frac{\text{generic}}{\text{notification}}$ -attributes-tag = $\% \times 07$; tag of 7

5.2 New status codes:

- 221 ISSUE 05 Should we move the status codes into the Notification Model document in order to have the
- same status codes for any other delivery method that might be defined?
- 223 b) The following status codes are defined:

5.2.1 unknown-notification-recipient. (0xYYYY)

- The Notification Recipient returns this status code in order to indicate that the intended Ultimate
- Notification Recipient is not known to the Notification Recipient.

227 **5.2.2** unable-to-delivery-notification-report (0xZZZZ)

- The Notification Recipient returns this status code in ordre to indicate that it was unable to deliver the event
- Notification to the intended Ultimate Notification Recipient.

230 5.2.3 successful-ok-but-cancel-subscription (0xXXXX)

- The Notification Recipient indicates that it no longer wants to receive Notifications for this Subscription
- object. Therefore, the Subscription object is canceled. Note: this status code allows the Notification
- Recipient to cancel a Subscription object without having to be the owner of the Subscription object. Only
- 234 the owner of the Subscription object can cancel a Subscription object using the Cancel-Subscription
- 235 operation.

236237

238

239 240

241 242 243

245

246 247

248

250

220

224

The encoding for the Send-Notification Request consists of:

Parra, Hastings [page 8]

Where:

258

273

274275

276277278279

280 281

282

283

284 285

286 287

288 289

290

291

292293

- version-number is made up of a major-version-number of %d1 and a minor-version-number of %d0
 indicating the 1.0 version of the 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' event notification delivery method and protocol.
- operation-id, in the 1.0 version of the protocol, can only be 0x00003, Send-Notification.
- request-id is any 4 byte number provided by the notification source and must be matched by the notification
 recipient in the corresponding response to a request. It assists the notification source in associating
- operation responses with their corresponding requests. Note that this request id is independent of the
- request id embedded in the notification report, which is opaque to the delivery method but assists the
- 267 notification recipient order and identity missing or duplicate notification reports.
- operation-attribute tag, natural-language-attribute, charset-attribute, target-attribute, and end-of-
- 269 attributes-tag have the same syntax and semantics as in [ipp-pro].
- 270 *notification-attr-list* contains a list of the attributes that make up a single notification (see section 2 above)
- 271 encoded using the syntax specified in [ipp-pro].
- The encoding for the Send-Notification Response consists of:

```
| version-number | 2 byte |
| status-code | 2 bytes |
| request-id | 4 bytes |
| operation-attributes-tag | 1 byte |
| natural language attributeattributes-charset | u bytes |
| attributes-natural-languagecharset-attribute | v bytes |
| target-attribute | w bytes |
| genericnotification-attributes-tag | 1 byte |
| ntfy-status-code | 2 bytes |
```

Parra, Hastings [page 9]

294 | end-of-attributes-tag | 1 byte 295 -----

6 Encoding of Transport Layer

- 297 HTTP/1.1 [rfc2616] is the transport layer for this protocol.
- The operation layer has been designed with the assumption that the transport layer contains the following information:
- the URI of the target job or printer operation.
- the total length of the data in the operation layer, either as a single length or as a sequence of chunks each with a length.
- It is REQUIRED that an 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' Notification Recipient implementation support HTTP over the IANA assigned Well Known Port XXX (the 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' notification protocol default port), though a notification recipient implementation MAY support HTTP over some other port as well.
- Each HTTP operation MUST use the POST method where the request-URI is the object target of the
- operation, and where the "Content-Type" of the message-body in each request and response MUST be "application/ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send". The message-body MUST contain the operation layer and MUST
- application/ipp intry[pp-notify-send]. The message-body wids I contain the operation layer and wids
- have the syntax described in section 3, "Encoding of Operation Layer". An 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send'
- Notification Source implementation MUST adhere to the rules for a client described for HTTP1.1
- 311 [rfc2616]. An 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' Notification Recipient implementation MUST adhere the rules for
- an origin server described for HTTP1.1 [rfc2616].
- An 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' Notification Source sends a response for each request that it receives. If a
- 314 notification recipient detects an error, it MAY send a response before it has read the entire request. If the
- 315 HTTP layer of the Notification Recipient completes processing the HTTP headers successfully, it MAY
- send an intermediate response, such as "100 Continue", with no notification data before sending the
- 317 notification response. The 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' Notification Sources MUST expect such a variety of
- 318 responses from notification recipients. For further information on HTTP/1.1, consult the HTTP documents
- 319 [rfc2616].

325

296

- 320 An 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' Notification Recipient (server) MUST support chunking for HTTP notification
- requests, and an 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' Notification Source (client) MUST support chunking for HTTP
- notification responses according to HTTP/1.1[rfc2616]. Note: this rule causes a conflict with non-compliant
- 323 implementations of HTTP/1.1 that don't support chunking for POST methods, and this rule may cause a
- 324 conflict with non-compliant implementations of HTTP/1.1 that don't support chunking for CGI scripts

7 IANA Considerations

- 326 IANA will be asked to register this 'ipp-ntfyipp-notify-send' notification delivery scheme and protocol and
- will be asked to assign a default port.

Parra, Hastings [page 10]

8 Internationalization Considerations

- When the client requests Human Consumable form by supplying the "notify-text-format" operation attribute
- (see [ipp-ntfy]), the IPP Printer (or any Notification Service that the IPP Printer might be configured to use)
- 331 supplies and localizes the text value of the "human-readable-report" attribute in the Notification according
- to the charset and natural language requested in the notification subscription.

9 Security Considerations

- The IPP Model and Semantics document [ipp-mod] discusses high level security requirements (Client
- 335 Authentication, Server Authentication and Operation Privacy). Client Authentication is the mechanism by
- which the client proves its identity to the server in a secure manner. Server Authentication is the mechanism
- by which the server proves its identity to the client in a secure manner. Operation Privacy is defined as a
- mechanism for protecting operations from eavesdropping.
- 339 The Notification Recipient can cancel unwanted Subscriptions created by other parties without having to be
- 340 the owner of the subscription by returning the 'successful-ok-but-cancel-subscription' status code in the
- 341 Send-Notifications response returned to the Notification Source.

9.1 Security Conformance

- Notification Sources (client) MAY support Digest Authentication [rfc2617]. If Digest Authentication is
- supported, then MD5 and MD5-sess MUST be supported, but the Message Integrity feature NEED NOT be
- 345 supported.

342

328

- Notification Recipient (server) MAY support Digest Authentication [rfc2617]. If Digest Authentication is
- supported, then MD5 and MD5-sess MUST be supported, but the Message Integrity feature NEED NOT be
- 348 supported.
- Notification Recipients MAY support TLS for client authentication, server authentication and operation
- privacy. If a notification recipient supports TLS, it MUST support the
- 351 TLS_DHE_DSS_WITH_3DES_EDE_CBC_SHA cipher suite as mandated by RFC 2246 [rfc2246]. All
- other cipher suites are OPTIONAL. Notification recipients MAY support Basic Authentication (described
- in HTTP/1.1 [rfc2616]) for client authentication if the channel is secure. TLS with the above mandated
- 354 cipher suite can provide such a secure channel.

10 References

356 [ipp-mod]

355

- R. deBry, T. Hastings, R. Herriot, S. Isaacson, P. Powell, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Model and
- 358 Semantics", <draft-ietf-ipp-model-v11-04.txt>, June, 1999.

```
359
       [ipp-ntfy]
360
              Isaacson, S., Martin, J., deBry, R., Hastings, T., Shepherd, M., Bergman, R., "Internet Printing
              Protocol/1.1: IPP Event Notification Specification", <draft-ietf-ipp-not-spec-012.txt>, October 14,
361
              <del>1999</del>February 2, 2000.
362
363
       [ipp-pro]
              Herriot, R., Butler, S., Moore, P., Tuner, R., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and
364
365
              Transport", draft-ietf-ipp-protocol-v11-03.txt, June, 1999.
366
       [rfc2026]
367
              S. Bradner, "The Internet Standards Process -- Revision 3", RFC 2026, October 1996.
368
       [rfc2616]
              R. Fielding, J. Gettys, J. Mogul, H. Frystyk, L. Masinter, P. Leach, T. Berners-Lee, "Hypertext
369
370
              Transfer Protocol - HTTP/1.1", RFC 2616, June 1999.
       [rfc2617]
371
372
              J. Franks, P. Hallam-Baker, J. Hostetler, S. Lawrence, P. Leach, A. Luotonen, L. Stewart, "HTTP
373
              Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication", RFC 2617, June 1999.
       11 Author's Addresses
374
375
              Hugo Parra
376
              Novell, Inc.
377
              122 E 1700 S
378
              Provo, UT 84606
379
380
              Phone: 801-861-3307
381
              Fax: 801-861-2517
382
              e-mail: hparra@novell.com
383
384
              Tom Hastings
385
              Xerox Corporation
              737 Hawaii St. ESAE 231
386
387
              El Segundo, CA 90245
388
389
              Phone: 310-333-6413
390
              Fax: 310-333-5514
391
              e-mail: hastings@cp10.es.xerox.com
392
```

12 Full Copyright Statement

393

394 Copyright (C) The Internet Society (1999). All Rights Reserved.

Parra, Hastings [page 12]

- 395 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that
- 396 comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and
- distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and
- 398 this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not
- 399 be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or
- other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which
- case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as
- required to translate it into languages other than English.
- The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its
- 404 successors or assigns.
- 405 This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET
- 406 SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES,
- 407 EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE
- 408 OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED
- 409 WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Expires: Aug 2, 2000