

Internet Printing Protocol WG
INTERNET DRAFT
<~~draft-ietf-ipp-not-06.txt~~><draft-ietf-ipp-not-07.txt>
[Target Category: Informational]
Expires: ~~January 17, 2002~~December 21, 2004

Tom Hastings (editor)
Xerox Corporation
Roger K deBry |
Utah Valley State College
Harry Lewis |
IBM Corporation
~~July 17, 2001~~June 21, 2004 |

Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): Requirements for IPP Notifications
Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2001). All Rights Reserved.

STATUS OF THIS MEMO

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of Section 10 of [RFC2026]. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed as <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

ABSTRACT

This document is one of a set of documents which together describe all aspects of a new Internet Printing Protocol (IPP). IPP is an application level protocol that can be used for distributed printing on the Internet. There are multiple parts to IPP, but the primary architectural components are the Model, the Protocol and an interface to Directory Services. This document provides a statement of the requirements for notifications as an optional part of an IPP Service.

Table of Contents

1 Scope.....3

2 Terminology.....3

3 Scenarios7

4 Requirements10

5 Security considerations for IPP Notifications requirements.....11

6 Internationalization Considerations12

7 IANA Considerations12

8 References.....12

9 Author's Address.....13

10 Appendix A: Description of the Base IPP Documents15

11 Appendix B: Full Copyright Statement16

1 Scope

This document is one of a set of documents which together describe all aspects of a new Internet Printing Protocol (IPP). IPP is an application level protocol that can be used for distributed printing on the Internet. There are multiple parts to IPP, but the primary architectural components are the Model, the Protocol and an interface to Directory Services. This document provides a statement of the requirements for notifications as an optional part of an IPP Service. See section 10 for a description of the base IPP documents.

The scope of this requirements document covers functionality used by the following kinds of IPP Users: End Users, Print Administrators and Operators. See [ipp-ntfy] for the extensions to the Internet Printing Protocol/1.0 (IPP) [RFC2565, RFC2566], IPP/1.1 [RFC2911, RFC2910], and future versions.

2 Terminology

It is necessary to define a set of terms in order to be able to clearly express the requirements for notification services in an IPP System.

2.1 Job Submitting End User

A human end user who submits a print job to an IPP Printer. This person may or may not be within the same security domain as the Printer. This person may or may not be geographically near the printer.

2.2 Administrator

A human user who established policy for and configures the print system.

2.3 Operator

A human user who carries out the policy established by the Administrator and controls the day to day running of the print system.

2.4 Job Submitting Application

An application (for example, a batch application), acting on behalf of a Job Submitting End User, which submits a print job to an IPP Printer. The application may or may not be within the same security domain as the Printer. This application may or may not be geographically near the printer.

2.5 Security Domain

For the purposes of this discussion, the set of network components which can communicate without going through a proxy or firewall. A security domain may be geographically very large, for example - anyplace within [IBM.COM.example.com](#).

2.6 IPP Client

The software component that sends IPP requests to an IPP Printer object and accepts IPP responses from an IPP Printer.

2.7 Job Recipient

A human who is the ultimate consumer of the print job. In many cases this will be the same person as the Job Submitting End User, but this need not always be the case. For example, if I use IPP to print a document on a printer in a business partner's office, I am the Job Submitting End User, while the person I intend the document for in my business partner's office is the Job Recipient. Since one of the goals of IPP is to be able to print near the Job Recipient of the printed output, we would normally expect that person to be in the same security domain as, and geographically near, the Printer. However, this may not always be the case. For example, I submit a print job across the Internet to a [Kinko's XYZ's](#) print shop. I am both the Submitting end User and the Job Recipient, but I am neither near nor in the same security domain as the Printer.

2.8 Job Recipient Proxy

A person acting on behalf of the Job Recipient. In particular, the Job Recipient Proxy physically picks up the printed document from the Printer, if the Job Recipient cannot perform that function. The Proxy is **by definition** geographically near and in the same security domain as the printer. For example, I submit a print job from home to be printed on a printer at work. I'd like my secretary to pick up the print job and put it on my desk. In this case, I am acting as both Job Submitting End User and Job Recipient. My secretary is acting as a Job Recipient Proxy.

2.9 Notification Subscriber

A client that requests the IPP Printer to send Event Notifications to one or more Notification Recipients. A Notification Subscriber may be a Job Submitting End User or an End User, an Operator, or an Administrator that is not submitting a job.

2.10 Notification Source

The entity that sends Event Notifications.

2.11 Notification Recipient

The entity that receives IPP Notifications about Job and/or Printer events. A Notification Recipient may be a: Job Submitting End User, Job Submitting Application, Job Recipient, Job Recipient Proxy, Operator, or Administrator, etc., and their representatives or log file or usage statistics gathering application or other active or passive entities.

2.12 Notification Recipient Agent

A program which receives Event Notifications on behalf of the Notification Recipient. The agent may take some action on behalf of the recipient, forward the notification to the recipient via some alternative means (for example, page the recipient), or queue the notification for later retrieval by the recipient.

2.13 Event

A Event is some occurrence (either expected or unexpected) within the printing system of a change of state, condition, or configuration of a Job or Printer object.

2.14 Event Notification

When an event occurs, an Event Notification is generated that fully describes the event (what the event was, where it occurred, when it occurred, etc.). Event Notifications are delivered to all the Notification Recipients that are subscribed to that Event, if any. The Event Notification is delivered to the address of the Notification Recipient using the notification delivery method defined in the subscription. However, an Event Notification is sent **ONLY** if there is a corresponding subscription.

2.15 Notification Subscription

A Notification Subscription is a request by a Notification Subscriber to the IPP Printer to send Event Notifications to specified Notification Recipient(s) when the event occur.

2.16 Notification Attributes

IPP Objects (for example, a print job) from which notification are being sent may have attributes associated with them. A user may want to have one or more of these associated attributes returned along with a particular notification. In general, these may include any attribute associated with the object emitting the notification. Examples include:

- number-of-intervening jobs
- job-k-octets
- job-k-octets processed
- job impressions
- job-impressions-interpreted
- job-impressions-completed
- impressionsCompletedCurrentCopy (job MIB)
- sheetCompletedCopyNumber (job MIB)
- sheetsCompletedDocumentNumber (job MIB)
- Copies-requested
- Copy-type
- Output-destination
- Job-state-reasons
- Job ID
- Printer URI

Subscription ID (for job independent subscription)

2.17 Notification Delivery Method (or Delivery Method for short)

Event Notifications are delivered using a method, such as email, TCP/IP, etc.

2.18 Immediate Notification

Notifications sent to the Notification Recipient or the Notification Recipient's agent in such a way that the notification arrives immediately, within the limits of common addressing, routing, network congestion and quality of service.

2.19 Store and Forward Notification

Notifications which are not necessarily delivered to Notification Recipients immediately, but are queued for delivery by some intermediate network application, for later retrieval. Email is an example of a store and forward notification delivery method.

2.20 Reliable Delivery of Notifications

Notifications which are delivered by a reliable delivery of packets or character stream, with acknowledgment and retry, such that delivery of the notification is guaranteed within some determinate time limits. For example, if the Notification Recipient has logged off and gone home for the day, an immediate notification cannot be guaranteed to be delivered, even when sent over a reliable transport, because there is nothing there to catch it. Guaranteed delivery requires both store and forward notification and a reliable transport.

2.21 Notification over Unreliable Transport

Notifications are delivered via the fundamental transport address and routing framework, but no acknowledgment or retry is required. Process to process communications, if involved, are unconstrained.

2.22 Human Consumable Notification

Notifications which are intended to be consumed by human end users only. Email would be an example of a Human consumable notification, though it could also contain Machine Consumable Notification.

2.23 Machine Consumable Notification

Notifications which are intended for consumption by a program **only**, such as an IPP Client. Machine Consumable notifications may not contain human readable information. Do we need both human and

machine? Machine readable is intended for application to application only. The Notification Recipient could process the machine readable Event Notification into human readable format.

2.24 Mixed Notification

A mixed notification contains both Human Consumable and Machine Consumable information.

3 Scenarios

1. I am sitting in my office and submit a print job to the printer down the hall. I am in the same security domain as the printer and of course, geographically near. I want to know immediately when my print job will be completed (or if there is a problem) because the document I am working on is urgent. I submit the print job with the following attributes:
 - Notification Recipient - me
 - Notification Events - all
 - Notification Attributes - job-state-reason
 - Notification Type - immediate

2. I am working from home and submit a print job to the same printer as in the previous example. However, since I am not at work, I cannot physically get the print file or do anything with it. It can wait until I get to work this afternoon. However, I'd like my secretary to pick up the output and put it on my desk so it doesn't get lost or miss-filed. I'd also like a store and forward notification sent to my email so that when I get to work I can tell if there was a problem with the print job. I submit a print job with the following attributes:
 - Notification Recipient - my secretary
 - Notification Events - print complete
 - Notification Type - immediate

 - Notification Recipient - me
 - Notification Events - print complete
 - Notification Attributes - impressions completed
 - Notification Type - store and forward

3. I am sitting in my office and submit a print job to a client at an engineering firm we work with on a daily basis. The engineering firm is in Belgium. I would like my client to know when the print job is complete, so that she can pick it up from the printer in her building. It is important that she review it right away and get her comments back to me. I submit the print job with the following attributes:
 - Notification Recipient - client at engineering firm
 - Notification Events - print complete
 - Notification Type - immediate

- Notification Language - French
4. I am in a hotel room and send a print job to a Kinko's store in the town I am working in, in order to get a printed report for the meeting I am attending in the morning. Since I'm going out to dinner after I get this job submitted, an immediate notification won't do me much good. However, I'd like to check in the morning before I drive to the Kinko's store to see if the file has been printed. An email notification is sufficient for this purpose. I submit the print job with the following attributes:
 - Notification Recipient - me
 - Notification Events - print complete
 - Notification Type - store and forward
 5. I am printing a large, complex print file. I want to have some immediate feedback on the progress of the print job as it prints. I submit the print job with the following attributes:
 - Notification Recipient - me
 - Notification Type - immediate
 - Notification Events - all state transitions
 - Notification Attributes - impression completed
 6. I am an operator and my duties is to keep the printer running. I subscribe independently from a job submission so that my subscription outlasts any particular job. I subscribe with the following attributes:
 - Notification Recipient - me
 - Notification Type - immediate
 - Notification Events - all Printer state transitions
 - Notification Attributes - Printer state, printer state reasons, device powering up, device powering down.
 7. I am a usage statistics gathering application. I subscribe independently from a job submission so that my subscription outlasts any particular job. My subscription may persists across power cycles. I subscribe with the following attributes:
 - Notification Recipient - me
 - Notification Type - immediate
 - Notification Events - job completion
 - Notification Attributes - impression completed, sheets completed, time submitted, time started, time completed, job owner, job size in octets, etc.
 8. I am a client application program that displays a list of jobs currently queued for printing on a printer. I display the "job-name", "job-state", "job-state-reasons", "page-count", and "intervening-jobs" either for the user's jobs or for all jobs. The window displaying the job list remains open for an independent amount of time, and it is desired that it represent the current state of the queue. It is desired that the application only need to perform a slow poll in order to recover from any missed

notifications. So the event delivery mechanism provides the means to update the screen on all needed changes, including querying for some attributes that may not be delivered in the Notification.

9. I am a client application program that displays a list of printers. For each Printer I display the current state and configuration. The window displaying the printer list remains open for an independent amount of time, and it is desired that it represent the current state of each printer. It is desired that the application only need to perform a slow poll in order to recover from any missed notifications. So the event delivery mechanism provides the means to update the screen on all needed changes, including querying for some attributes that may not be delivered in the Notification.
10. I am an IPP Server that controls one or more devices and implements an IPP Printer object to represent each device. I want to support IPP Notification for each of the IPP Printer objects that I implement. Many of these devices do not support notification (or IPP). So I need to support the IPP Notification semantics specified for each IPP Printer object myself on behalf of each of the devices that each of the IPP Printer objects represent. When I accept IPP job creation requests, I convert the request to what the device will accept. In some cases, I must poll the devices in order to be informed of their job and device state and state changes in order to be able to send IPP Notifications to subscribed Notification Recipients.
11. I am an IPP Server that controls one or more devices and implements an IPP Printer object to represent each device. I want to support IPP Notification for each of the IPP Printer objects that I implement. These devices all support IPP, including IPP Notification. I would like the design choice for supporting IPP Notification for these IPP Printer objects that I implement either (1) by forwarding the notification to the IPP Printers that I alone control and have them send the notifications to the intended Notification Recipients without my involvement or (2) replace the notification submitted with the Job to indicate me as the Notification Recipient and I will in turn forward Notifications to the Notification Recipients requested by my clients. Most of the rest of the contents of the IPP Job that I send to the IPP Printers that I control will be the same as the IPP Job that I receive from my IPP clients.
12. I am an IPP Server that controls one or more devices and implements an IPP Printer object to represent each device. I want to support IPP Notification for each of the IPP Printer objects that I implement. These devices all support IPP, including IPP Notification. Because these IPP Printers MAY also be being controlled by other servers (using IPP or other protocols), I only want job events for the jobs that I send, but do want Printer events all the time, so that I can show proper Printer state to my clients. So I subscribe to these IPP Printers for Printer events with a long standing subscription with myself to as the Notification Recipient. When I get a Job Creation request, I decide to which IPP Printer to send the job. When I do so, I also add a job subscription for Job events with me as the Notification Recipient to the job's job subscriptions supplied by my clients (this usage is called "piggy-backing"). These IPP Printers automatically remove their job subscriptions when the job completes as for all job subscriptions so that I no longer get Job events when my jobs are completed.

4 Requirements

The following requirements are intended to be met by the IPP Notification specification (not the implementation). The resulting IPP Notification Specification document:

1. must indicate which of these requirements are REQUIRED and which are OPTIONAL for a conforming implementation to support. See [RFC2911] section 12.1 for the definition of these important conformance terms.
2. must be designed to that an IPP Printer can *transparently* support the IPP Notification semantics using third party notification services that exist today or that may be standardized in the future.
3. must define means for a Job Submitting End User to specify zero or more Notification Recipients when submitting a print job. A Submitter will not be able to prevent out of band subscriptions from authorized persons, such as Operators.
4. must define means when specifying a Notification Recipient, for a Notification Subscriber to be able to specify one or more notification events for that Notification Recipient, subject to administrative and security policy restrictions. Any of the following constitute Job or Printer Events that a Job Submitting End User can specify notifications be sent for:
 - Any standard Printer MIB alert (i.e. device alerts) (critical and warning?) (state change notifications)?
 - Job Received (transition from Unknown to Pending)
 - Job Started (Transition from Pending to Processing)
 - Page Complete (Page is stacked)
 - Collated Copy Complete (last sheet of collated copy is stacked)
 - Job Complete (transition from Processing or Processing-stopped to Completed)
 - Job aborted (transition from Pending, Pending-held, Processing, or Processing-stopped to Aborted)
 - Job canceled (transition from Pending, Pending-held, Processing, or Processing-held to Canceled)
 - Other job state changes like 'paused', purged?
 - Device problems for which the job is destined
 - Job (interpreter) issues
5. must define how an End User or Operator subscribes for:
 - Any set of Job Events for a specific job.
 - Any set of Printer Events while a specific job is not complete.
6. must define how an End User or Operator subscribes for the following without having to submit a Job:
 - Any set of Printer Events for a defined period.
 - Any set of Job Events for all jobs with no control over which jobs.

7. must define how the Notification Subscriber is able to specify either immediate or store and forward notification independently for each Notification Recipient. The means may be explicit, or implied by the method of delivery chosen by the Job Submitting End User.
8. must define common delivery methods, e.g. email, must be defined.
9. must define how an IPP Printer validates its ability to deliver an Event using the specified delivery scheme. If it does not support the specified scheme, or the specified scheme is invalid for some reason, then the IPP Printer accepts and performs the request anyway and responds indicating the unsupported attribute values. There is no requirement for the IPP Printer receiving the print request to validate the identity of an Notification Recipient, nor the ability of the system to deliver an event to that recipient as requested (for example, if the Notification Recipient is not at work today).
10. must define a class of IPP event notification delivery methods which can flow through corporate firewalls. However, an IPP printer need not test to guarantee delivery of the notification through a firewall before accepting a print job.
11. may define means for delivering a notification to the submitting client when the delivery of an event notification to a specified Notification Recipient fails. Fall back means of subscribers determining if notifications have failed, i.e. polling, may be provided.
12. must define a mechanism for localizing Human Consumable notifications by the Notification Source.
13. may define a way to specify whether or not event delivery requires acknowledgement back to the Notification Source.
14. There must be a mechanism defined so that job independent subscriptions do not become stale and do not require human intervention to remove stale subscriptions. However, stale must not be the inability to deliver an Event Notification , since temporary Notification delivery problems must be tolerated.
15. A mechanism must be defined so that an Event Subscriber is able to add an Event Subscription to a Job after the Job has been submitted.
16. A mechanism must be defined so that a client is able to cancel an Event Subscription on a job or printer after the job has been submitted.
17. A mechanism must be defined so that a client can obtain the set of current Subscriptions.

5 Security considerations for IPP Notifications requirements

By far the biggest security concern is the abuse of notification: sending unwanted notifications to third parties (i.e., spam). The problem is made worse by notification addresses that may be redistributed to multiple parties (e.g. mailing lists). There exist scenarios where third party notification is required

(see Scenario #2 and #3). The fully secure solution would require active agreement of all recipients before sending out anything. However, requirement #9 (“There is no requirement for IPP Printer receiving the print request to validate the identity of an event recipient”) argues against this. Certain systems may decide to disallow third party notifications (a traditional fax model).

Clients submitting notification requests to the IPP Printer has the same security issues as submitting an IPP/1.1 print job request. The same mechanisms used by IPP/1.1 can therefore be used by the client notification submission. Operations that require authentication can use the HTTP authentication. Operations that require privacy can use the HTTP/TLS privacy.

The notification access control model should be similar to the IPP access control model. Creating a notification subscription is associated with a user. Only the creator or an operator can cancel the subscription. The system may limit the listing of items to only those items owned by the user. Some subscriptions (e.g. those that have a lifetime longer than a job) can be done only by privileged users (operators and/or administrators), if that is the authorization policy.

The standard security concerns (delivery to the right user, privacy of content, tamper proof content) apply to the notification delivery. IPP should use the security mechanism of the delivery method used. Some delivery mechanisms are more secure than others. Therefore, sensitive notifications should use the delivery method that has the strongest security.

6 Internationalization Considerations

The Human Consumable notification must be localized to the natural language and charset that Notification Subscriber specifies within the choice of natural languages and charsets that the IPP Printer supports.

The Machine Consumable notification data uses the 'application/ipp' MIME media type. It contains some attributes whose text values are required to be in the natural language and charset that the Notification Subscriber specifies within the choice of natural languages and charsets that the IPP Printer supports. See [RFC2566].

7 IANA Considerations

There will be some notification delivery methods registered with IANA for use in URLs. These will be defined in other documents.

8 References

8.1 Normative References

[RFC2910]

Herriot, R., Butler, S., Moore, P., Turner, R., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport", RFC 2910, September 2000.

[RFC2911]

~~deBry, R., , Hastings, T., Herriot, R., Isaacson, S., Powell, P., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics", RFC 2911, September 2000.~~

[ipp-ntfy]

Isaacson, S., Martin, J., deBry, R., Hastings, T., Shepherd, M., Bergman, R., " IPP Event Notification Specification", <draft-ietf-ipp-not-spec-07.txt>, work in progress, July 17, 2001.

8.2 Informative References[RFC2565]

Herriot, R., Butler, S., Moore, P., Tuner, R., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Encoding and Transport", RFC 2565, April 1999.

[RFC2566]

R. deBry, T. Hastings, R. Herriot, S. Isaacson, P. Powell, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Model and Semantics", RFC 2566, April 1999.

[RFC2567]

Wright, D., "Design Goals for an Internet Printing Protocol", RFC 2567, April 1999.

[RFC2568]

Zilles, S., "Rationale for the Structure and Model and Protocol for the Internet Printing Protocol", RFC 2568, April 1999.

[RFC2569]

Herriot, R., Hastings, T., Jacobs, N., Martin, J., "Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols", RFC 2569, April 1999.

[RFC2639]

T. Hastings, C. Manros. "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Implementer's Guide", RFC 2639, July 1999.

[RFC2910]

~~Herriot, R., Butler, S., Moore, P., Turner, R., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport", RFC 2910, September 2000.~~

[RFC2911]

~~deBry, R., , Hastings, T., Herriot, R., Isaacson, S., Powell, P., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics", RFC 2911, September 2000.~~

9 Author's Address

~~—Harry Lewis
—HUC/003G
—IBM Corporation
—P.O. Box 1900
—Boulder, CO 80301-9191~~

~~—Phone: (303) 924-5337
—Fax: (303) 924-9889
—e-mail: harryl@us.ibm.com~~

~~—Roger deBry
Utah Valley State College
Orem, UT 84058~~

~~Phone: (801) 222-8000
e-mail: debryro@uvsc.edu~~

Tom Hastings (editor)
Xerox Corporation
737 Hawaii St. ESAE 231
El Segundo, CA 90245

Phone: 310-333-6413
Fax: 310-333-5514
e-mail: hastings@cp10.es.xerox.com

~~IPP Web Page: <http://www.pwg.org/ipp/>
—IPP Mailing List: ipp@pwg.org~~

~~To subscribe to the ipp mailing list, send the following email:~~

- ~~1) send it to majordomo@pwg.org~~
- ~~2) leave the subject line blank~~
- ~~3) put the following two lines in the message body:
subscribe ipp
end~~

~~Implementers of this specification document are encouraged to join the IPP Mailing List in order to participate in any discussions of clarification issues and review of registration proposals for additional attributes and values. In order to reduce spam the mailing list rejects mail from non-subscribers, so you must subscribe to the mailing list in order to send a question or comment to the mailing list.~~

~~Roger deBry
Utah Valley State College
Orem, UT 84058~~

~~Phone: (801) 222-8000
e-mail: debryro@uvsc.edu~~

~~Harry Lewis
HUC/003G
IBM Corporation~~

P.O. Box 1900
Boulder, CO 80301-9191

Phone: (303) 924-5337
Fax: (303) 924-9889
e-mail: harryl@us.ibm.com

10 Appendix A: Description of the Base IPP Documents

The base set of IPP documents includes:

- Design Goals for an Internet Printing Protocol [RFC2567]
- Rationale for the Structure and Model and Protocol for the Internet Printing Protocol [RFC2568]
- Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics [RFC2911]
- Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport [RFC2910]
- Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Implementer's Guide [IPP-IIG]
- Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols [RFC2569]

The "Design Goals for an Internet Printing Protocol" document takes a broad look at distributed printing functionality, and it enumerates real-life scenarios that help to clarify the features that need to be included in a printing protocol for the Internet. It identifies requirements for three types of users: end users, operators, and administrators. It calls out a subset of end user requirements that are satisfied in IPP/1.0 [RFC2566, RFC2565]. A few OPTIONAL operator operations have been added to IPP/1.1 [RFC2911, RFC2910].

The "Rationale for the Structure and Model and Protocol for the Internet Printing Protocol" document describes IPP from a high level view, defines a roadmap for the various documents that form the suite of IPP specification documents, and gives background and rationale for the IETF IPP working group's major decisions.

The "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics" document describes a simplified model with abstract objects, their attributes, and their operations. The model introduces a Printer and a Job. The Job supports multiple documents per Job. The model document also addresses how security, internationalization, and directory issues are addressed.

The "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport" document is a formal mapping of the abstract operations and attributes defined in the model document onto HTTP/1.1 [RFC2616]. It also defines the encoding rules for a new Internet MIME media type called "application/ipp". This document also defines the rules for transporting over HTTP a message body whose Content-Type is "application/ipp". This document defines the 'ipp' scheme for identifying IPP printers and jobs.

The "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Implementer's Guide" document gives insight and advice to implementers of IPP clients and IPP objects. It is intended to help them understand IPP/1.1 and some of the considerations that may assist them in the design of their client and/or IPP object implementations. For example, a typical order of processing requests is given, including error checking. Motivation for some of the specification decisions is also included.

The "Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols" document gives some advice to implementers of gateways between IPP and LPD (Line Printer Daemon) implementations.

11 Appendix B: Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (1998,1999,2000,2001). All Rights Reserved

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.