INTERNET-DRAFT 5 ISSUES are highlighted like this.
<draft-ietf-ipp-prog-00.txt~~.doc~~>

T. Hastings
Xerox Corporation
~~,~~H. Lewis
IBM Printing Company
R. Bergman
Hitachi Koki Imaging Solutions

~~September 13, 1999~~February 2, 2000

~~IPP/1.0 and~~ IPP/1.1: Job Progress Attributes

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of Section 10 of [RFC2026]. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress".

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed as http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

Abstract

This document defines ~~five~~ four new Job Description attributes for monitoring job progress to be registered ~~for use with~~as extensions to IPP/1.0 [RFC2566] and IPP/1.1 [ipp-mod]. These attributes are drawn from the PWG Job Monitoring MIB [[rfc2707]~~jmp-mib~~]. This document also defines a new "sheet-collate" Job Template attribute to control sheet collation and to help with the interpretation of the job progress attributes. These new attributes may also be used by themselves as useful job progress monitoring attributes and/or may be passed in an IPP Notification (see [ipp-not]). The new Job Description attributes are:

"job-collation-type" (type2 enum)
"sheet-completed-copy-number" (integer(~~-2~~0:MAX))
"sheet-completed-document-number" (integer(~~-2~~0:MAX))
~~"impressions-interpreted" (integer(-2:MAX))~~
"impressions-completed-current-copy" (integer(~~-2~~0:MAX))

which in combination with the IPP/1.1 "job-impressions-completed" attribute in combination with the new Job Template attribute~~:~~ ~~is~~:

"sheet-collate" (boolean)

are used for job progress monitoring

The full set of IPP documents includes:

- Design Goals for an Internet Printing Protocol [RFC2567]
- Rationale for the Structure and Model and Protocol for the Internet Printing Protocol [RFC2568]
- Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics [ipp-mod]
- Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport [ipp-pro]
- Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Implementer's Guide [ipp-iig]
- Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols [RFC2569]
- Internet Printing Protocol/1.0 & 1.1: Event Notification Specification [ipp-ntfy]

The "Design Goals for an Internet Printing Protocol" document takes a broad look at distributed printing functionality, and it enumerates real-life scenarios that help to clarify the features that need to be included in a printing protocol for the Internet. It identifies requirements for three types of users: end users, operators, and administrators. It calls out a subset of end user requirements that are satisfied in IPP/1.0. A few OPTIONAL operator operations have been added to IPP/1.1.

The "Rationale for the Structure and Model and Protocol for the Internet Printing Protocol" document describes IPP from a high level view, defines a roadmap for the various documents that form the suite of IPP specification documents, and gives background and rationale for the IETF working group's major decisions.

The "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics" document describes a simplified model with abstract objects, their attributes, and their operations that are independent of encoding and transport. It introduces a Printer and a Job object. The Job object optionally supports multiple documents per Job. It also addresses security, internationalization, and directory issues.

The "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport" document is a formal mapping of the abstract operations and attributes defined in the model document onto HTTP/1.1 [RFC2616]. It defines the encoding rules for a new Internet MIME media type called "application/ipp". This document also defines the rules for transporting over HTTP a message body whose Content-Type is "application/ipp". This document defines a new scheme named 'ipp' for identifying IPP printers and jobs.

The "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Implementer's Guide" document gives insight and advice to implementers of IPP clients and IPP objects. It is intended to help them understand IPP/1.1 and some of the considerations that may assist them in the design of their client and/or IPP object implementations. For example, a typical order of processing requests is given, including error checking. Motivation for some of the specification decisions is also included.

The "Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols" document gives some advice to implementers of gateways between IPP and LPD (Line Printer Daemon) implementations.

The "Event Notification Specification" document defines OPTIONAL operations that allow a client to subscribe to printing related events. Subscriptions include "Per-Job subscriptions" and "Per-Printer subscriptions". Subscriptions are modeled as Subscription objects. Four other operations are defined for subscription objects: get attributes, get subscriptions, renew a subscription, and cancel a subscription.

77

78 # TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 New Job Template attribute

1.1 "sheet-collate" (boolean)

Job Attribute	Printer: Default Value Attribute	Printer: Supported Values Attribute
sheet-collate (boolean)	sheet-collate-default (boolean)	sheet-collate-supported (1setOf boolean)

This attribute specifies whether or not the media sheets of each copy of each printed document in a job are to be in sequence, when multiple copies of the document are specified by the 'copies' attribute. When "sheet-collate" is 'true', each copy of each document is printed with the print-stream sheets in sequence. When 'sheet-collate' is 'false', each print-stream sheet is printed a number of times equal to the value of the 'copies' attribute in succession. For example, suppose a document which produces two media sheets as output, and "copies" is equal to '6', in this case six copies of the first media sheet are printed followed by six copies of the second media sheet.

Whether the effect of sheet collation is achieved by placing copies of a document in multiple output bins or in the same output bin with implementation defined document separation is implementation dependent. Also whether it is achieved by making multiple passes over the job or by using an output sorter is implementation dependent.

Note: IPP/1.0 [RFC2566] and IPP/1.1 [ipp-mod] is silent on whether or not sheets within documents are collated. The "sheet-collate-supported" attribute permits a Printer object to indicate whether or not it collates sheets with each document and whether it allows the client to control sheet collation. An implementation is able to indicate that it supports uncollated sheets, collated sheets, or both, using 'false', 'true', or both 'false' and 'true' values, respectively.

ISSUE 01 - Should we change the name from "sheet-collate" to "sheet-uncollate", since the absence of the attribute (and non-support of this attribute) is more likely to indicate collated sheets and so should be the 'false' value of the attribute, rather than the 'true' value?

ISSUE 02 - Should we change the "sheet-collate" data type from 'boolean' to 'type2 keyword' so that it could take on more values? This would also help with the name, say, "sheet-collation (type2 keyword) with values: 'uncollated' and 'collated'. The "sheet-collation-supported" (1setOf type2 keyword) would be more usual, than the unusual '1setOf boolean' also. In the future, we could define two collated values: 'multi-pass-collation' and 'output-bin-collation' to indicate which form is requested and/or supported, since some Printers MAY want to support both.

ISSUE 03 - If we change the attribute syntax to 'type2 keyword' should we have several values for the collated case now, i.e., define: 'multi-pass-collation' and 'output-bin-collation', instead of just 'collated'?

This attribute is affected by "multiple-document-handling." The "multiple-document-handling" attribute describes the collation of documents, and the "sheet-collate" attribute describes the semantics of collating individual pages within a document. To better explain the interaction between these two attributes the term "set" is introduced. A "set" is a logical boundary between the delivered media sheets of a printed job. For-example, in the case of a ten page single document with collated pages and a request for ten copies, each of the ten printed copies of the document constitutes a "set." In the above example if the pages were uncollated, then ten copies of each of the individual pages within the document would represent each "set".

The following table describes the interaction of "sheet-collate" with multiple document handling.

"sheet-collate"	"multiple-document-handling"	Semantics
'true'	'single-document'	Each copy of the concatenated documents, with their pages in sequence, represents a "set."
'true'	'single-document-new-sheet'	Each copy of the concatenated documents, with their pages in sequence, represents a "set."
'true'	'separate-documents-collated-copies'	Each copy of each separate document, with its pages in sequence, represents a "set."
'true'	'separate-documents-uncollated-copies	Each copy of each separate document, with its pages in sequence, represents a "set."
'false'	'single-document'	Each media sheet of the document is printed a number of times equal to the "copies" attribute; which constitutes a "set."
'false'	'single-document-new-sheet'	Each media sheet of the concatenated documents is printed a number of times equal to the "copies" attribute; which constitutes a "set."
'false'	'separate-documents-collated-copies'	This is a degenerate case, and the printer object MUST reject the job and return the status, "client-error-conflicting-attributes."
'false'	'separate-documents-uncollated-copies	This is a degenerate case, and the printer object MUST reject the job and return the status "client-error-conflicting-attributes."

From the above table it is obvious that the implicit value of the "sheet-collate" attribute in a printer that does not support the "sheet-collate" attribute, is 'true.' The semantics of "multiple-document-handling" are otherwise nonsensical in the case of separate documents.

2 IPP Job Description attributes for monitoring Job Progress

The following IPP Job Description attributes are proposed to be added to IPP through the type2 registration procedures. They are useful for monitoring the progress of a job. They are also used at attributes in the notification content in a notification report [ipp-not].

There are a number of Job Description attributes for monitoring the progress of a job. These objects and attributes count the number of K octets, impressions, sheets, and pages requested or completed. For impressions and sheets, "completed" means stacked, unless the implementation is unable to detect when each sheet is stacked, in which case stacked is approximated when processing of each sheet completes.

There are objects and attributes for the overall job and for the current copy of the document currently being stacked. For the latter, the rate at which the various objects and attributes count depends on the sheet and document collation of the job.

Consider the following four Job Description attributes that are used to monitor the progress of a job's impressions:

1. "job-impressions-completed" - counts the total number of impressions stacked for the job (see [ipp-mod] section 4.3.18.2)
2. "impressions-completed-current-copy" - counts the number of impressions stacked for the current document copy
3. "sheet-completed-copy-number" - identifies the number of the copy for the current document being stacked where the first copy is 1.
4. "sheet-completed-document-number" - identifies the current document within the job that is being stacked where the first document in a job is 1. NOTE: this attribute SHOULD NOT be implemented for implementations that only support one document per job.

For each of the three types of job collation, a job with three copies of two documents (1, 2), where each document consists of 3 impressions, the four variables have the following values as each sheet is stacked for one-sided printing:

"job-collation-type" = 'uncollated-sheets(3)'

"job-impressions-completed"	"impressions-completed-current-copy"	"sheet-completed-copy-number"	"sheet-completed-document-number"
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	1	2	1
3	1	3	1
4	2	1	1
5	2	2	1
6	2	3	1
7	3	1	1
8	3	2	1
9	3	3	1
10	1	1	2
11	1	2	2
12	1	3	2
13	2	1	2
14	2	2	2
15	2	3	2
16	3	1	2
17	3	2	2
18	3	3	2

175 **"job-collation-type" = 'collated-documents(4)'**

176

"job-impressions-completed"	"impressions-completed-current-copy"	"sheet-completed-copy-number"	"sheet-completed-document-number"
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	1	1
3	3	1	1
4	1	1	2
5	2	1	2
6	3	1	2
7	1	2	1
8	2	2	1
9	3	2	1
10	1	2	2
11	2	2	2
12	3	2	2
13	1	3	1
14	2	3	1
15	3	3	1
16	1	3	2
17	2	3	2
18	3	3	2

177

"job-collation-type" = 'uncollated-documents(5)'

"job-impressions-completed"	"impressions-completed-current-copy"	"sheet-completed-copy-number"	"sheet-completed-document-number"
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	1	1
3	3	1	1
4	1	2	1
5	2	2	1
6	3	2	1
7	1	3	1
8	2	3	1
9	3	3	1
10	1	1	2
11	2	1	2
12	3	1	2
13	1	2	2
14	2	2	2
15	3	2	2
16	1	3	2
17	2	3	2
18	3	3	2

2.1 "job-collation-type" (type2 enum)

ISSUE 04 - Or should the attribute syntax by 'type2 keyword' to go with "multiple-document-handling(type2 keyword)", instead of the Job MIB enum syntax?

Job Collation includes sheet collation and document collation. Sheet collation is defined to be the ordering of sheets within a document copy. Document collation is defined to be ordering of document copies within a multi-document job. The value of the "job-collation-type" is affected by the value of the "sheet-collate" Job Template attribute (see section 1.1), if supplied and supported.

The Standard enum values are:

'1' 'other': not one of the defined values

'2' 'unknown': the collation type is unknown

ISSUE 05 - Or should we use the IPP out-of-band 'unknown' value (see [ipp-mod] section 4.1) instead of this unknown(2) enum Job Monitoring MIB value, i.e., "job-collation-type" (type2 keyword) instead of "job-collation-type" (type2 enum)?

'3' 'uncollated-sheets': No collation of the sheets within each document copy, i.e., each sheet of a document that is to produce multiple copies is replicated before the next sheet

in the document is processed and stacked. If the device has an output bin collator, the 'uncollated-sheets(3)' value may actually produce collated sheets as far as the user is concerned (in the output bins). However, when the job collation is the 'uncollated-sheets(3)' value, job progress is indistinguishable to a monitoring application between a device that has an output bin collator and one that does not.

'4' 'collated-documents': Collation of the sheets within each document copy is performed within the printing device by making multiple passes over either the source or an intermediate representation of the document. In addition, when there are multiple documents per job, the i'th copy of each document is stacked before the j'th copy of each document, i.e., the documents are collated within each job copy. For example, if a job is submitted with documents, A and B, the job is made available to the end user as: A, B, A, B, …. The 'collated-documents(4)' value corresponds to the IPP [ipp-model] 'separate-documents-collated-copies' keyword value of the "multiple-document-handling" attribute.

If the job's "copies" attribute is '1' (or not supplied), then the "job-collation-type" attribute is defined to be '4'.

'5' 'uncollated-documents': Collation of the sheets within each document copy is performed within the printing device by making multiple passes over either the source or an intermediate representation of the document. In addition, when there are multiple documents per job, all copies of the first document in the job are stacked before the any copied of the next document in the job, i.e., the documents are uncollated within the job. For example, if a job is submitted with documents, A and B, the job is mad available to the end user as: A, A, …, B, B, …. The 'uncollated-documents(5)' value corresponds to the IPP [ipp-model] 'separate-documents-uncollated-copies' keyword value of the "multiple-document-handling" attribute.

2.2 "sheet-completed-copy-number" (integer(0-~~2~~:MAX))

The number of the copy being stacked for the current document. This number starts at 0, is set to 1 when the first sheet of the first copy for each document is being stacked and is equal to n where n is the nth sheet stacked in the current document copy. See section 2. If the value is unknown, the Printer MUST return the 'unknown' out-of-band value (see [ipp-mod] section 4.1), rather than the -2 value used in some MIBs [rfc2707].

~~ISSUE 3: Should we change the lower limit to 0 and use the IPP out-of-bound values: 'unknown' for -2?~~

2.3 "sheet-completed-document-number" (integer(0-~~2~~:MAX))

The ordinal number of the document in the job that is currently being stacked. This number starts at 0, increments to 1 when the first sheet of the first document in the job is being stacked, and is equal to n where n is the nth document in the job, starting with 1. See section 2. If the value is unknown, the Printer MUST return the 'unknown' out-of-band value (see [ipp-mod] section 4.1), rather than the -2 value used in some MIBs [rfc2707].

Implementations that only support one document jobs SHOULD NOT implement this attribute.

~~ISSUE 4: Should we change the lower limit to 0 and use the IPP out-of-bound values: 'unknown' instead of '-2'?~~

~~2.4"impressions-interpreted" (integer(-2:MAX))~~

~~The number of impressions interpreted for the job so far.~~

~~ISSUE 5: Should we change the lower limit to 0 and use the IPP out-of-bound values: 'unknown' instead of '-2'?~~

~~2.5~~2.4 "impressions-completed-current-copy" (integer(0 ~~-2~~:MAX))

The number of impressions completed by the device for the current copy of the current document so far. For printing, the impressions completed includes interpreting, marking, and stacking the output. For other types of job services, the number of impressions completed includes the number of impressions processed. See section 2. If the value is unknown, the Printer MUST return the 'unknown' out-of-band value (see [ipp-mod] section 4.1), rather than the -2 value used in some MIBs [rfc2707].

This value SHALL be reset to 0 for each document in the job and for each document copy.

~~ISSUE 6: Should we change the lower limit to 0 and use the IPP out-of-bound values: 'unknown' instead of '-2'?~~

3 Conformance Requirements

This section summarizes the Conformance Requirements detailed in the definitions in this document. In general each of the attributes defined in this document are OPTIONAL for a Printer to support, so that Printer implementers MAY implement any combination of attributes.

4 IANA Considerations

IANA will be called on to register the attributes defined in this document, using the procedures outlined in [ipp-mod].

5 Internationalization Considerations

The IPP extensions defined in this document require the same internationalization considerations as any of the Job Template and Job Descriptions attributes defined in IPP/1.1 [ipp-mod].

6 Security Considerations

The IPP extensions defined in this document require the same security considerations as any of the Job Template attributes and Job Descriptions attributes defined in IPP/1.1 [ipp-mod].

7 References

[ipp-mod]

deBry, R., , Hastings, T., Herriot, R., Isaacson, S., Powell, P., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics", <draft-ietf-ipp-model-v11-04.txt>, work in progress, June 23, 1999.

[ipp-not]

Isaacson, S., Martin, J., deBry, R., Hastings, T., Shepherd, M., Bergman, R., " IPP Event Notification Specification", <draft-ietf-ipp-not-spec-02.txt>, work in progress, September 10, 1999.

~~[jmp-mib]~~

~~Bergman, R., Hastings, T., Isaacson, S., Lewis, H. "PWG Job Monitoring MIB - V1", <draft-ietf-printmib-job-monitor-08.txt>, work in progress, Feb 19, 1999.~~

[rfc2566]

deBry, R., , Hastings, T., Herriot, R., Isaacson, S., Powell, P., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Model and Semantics", RFC 2566, April 1999.

[rfc2707]

Bergman, R., Hastings, T., Isaacson, S., Lewis, H. "PWG Job Monitoring MIB - V1", RFC 2707, November, 1999.

8 Change History

8.1 Changes made to the September 13, 1999 version to make the February 2, 2000 version

The following changes were made to the September 13, 1999 version to make the February 2, 2000 version:

1. Deleted the "impressions-interpreted" (integer(-2:MAX)) in favor of using the IPP "job-impressions-completed" attribute that is already defined in IPP/1.1.
2. Changed the lower bound for the "sheet-completed-copy-number" (integer(0:MAX)), "sheet-completed-document-number" (integer(0:MAX)), and "impressions-completed-current-copy" (integer(0:MAX)) from -2 to 0, and use the 'unknown' out-of-band value to indicate unknown.
3. Added the explicit interactions of "sheet-collate" with "multiple-document-handling.

8.2 Changes made to the May 19, 1999 version to make the September 13, 1999 version

The following changes were made to the May 19, 1999 version to make the September 13, 1999 version:

1. Changed it from a PWG to an IETF specification so that it can be cited from the IETF Notification documents.
2. Removed the reference to the long Notification spec from 1998, since it isn't going to be an IETF document.
3. Removed the notification content section, since the Notification specification now includes the 'job-progress' event and the associated notification content.

8.3 Changes made to the April 16, 1999 version to make the May 19, 1999 version

The following changes were made to the April 16, 1999 version to make the May 19, 1999 version:

1. Added the "sheet-collate" Job Template attribute.
2. Added the 'job-progress-event' report content type.

9 Full Copyright Statement