1 INTERNET-DRAFT 2 date 3 4 5 6 7 Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Directory Schema 8 9 10 Status of this Memo 11 This document is an Internet-Draft. Internet-Drafts are working 12 documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, 13 and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute 14 working documents as Internet-Drafts. 15 Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months 16 and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any 17 It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference 18 material or to cite them other than as "work in progress." 19 To learn the current status of any Internet-Draft, please check the 20 "lid-abstracts.txt" listing contained in the Internet-Drafts Shadow 21 Directories on ftp.is.co.za (Africa), nic.nordu.net (Europe), 22 munnari.oz.au (Pacific Rim), ds.internic.net (US East Coast), or 23 ftp.isi.edu (US West Coast). 24 Abstract 25 This Internet-Draft specifies an Internet Printing Protocol (IPP)that 26 is intended to be version 1.0. This protocol is heavily influence by 27 the semantic operations and attributes defined in ISO/IEC 10175 28 Document Printing Application (DPA) parts 1 and 3. It also 29 incorporates some of the implementation and interoperability lessons 30 learned from other printing related standards such as POSIX System 31 Administration - Part 4 (POSIX 1378.4) and X/Open A Printing System 32 Interoperability Specification(PSIS). 33 IPP is defined as a set of abstract data types and operations. The 34 operations are implemented using a simple request and response 35 mechanism built on top of HTTP. The abstract data types are encoded as simple ASCII text strings. 36 37 The IPP protocol covers only end user operations on basic print 38 service objects. Authentication is realized by mechanisms outside the 39 scope of the protocol, but the protocol does introduce some access 40 control functionality so that only authorized end users are allowed 41 to submit print jobs to printers whose implementation and site policy 42 support access control. Also, the Cancel Job operation requires some 43 authentication so that jobs can only be canceled by the end user who 44 submitted the job. Extended monitoring and management is possible 45 through other protocols such as the SNMP Printer MIB. In the areas

46	where there are no existing standards, some proposed and emerging
1 7	standards are being worked (management, security, etc.). As these
48	services become more stable, this document (and hence the protocol)
49	can be updated to reflect the integration and relationships with
50	these other standards.

51 Table of Contents

52	1.	Introduction	2
53	2.	Naming	3
54		2.1 Directory Services	4
55		2.2 Directory Entry Schema	4
56		2.2.1 Name	5
57		2.2.2 Description	5
58		2.2.3 Location	5
59		2.2.4 Maximum Print Quality	5
60		2.2.5 Cost	5
61		2.2.6 Resolution	5
62		2.2.7 Color Supported	5
63		2.2.8 Fonts Supported	6
64		2.2.9 Maximum Speed	6
65		2.2.10 Device Id	6
66		2.2.11 Make and Model	6
67		2.2.12 Marker Type	6
68		2.2.13 Document Formats Supported	7
69		2.2.14 Sides Supported	7
70		2.2.15 Finishings Supported	7
71		2.3 Directory Entries Using LDAP	7
72	3.	Security Considerations	8
73	4.	References	9
74	5.	Author's Address	9
75			

76 1. Introduction

88

89

77 The Internet Printing Protocol (IPP) is an application level protocol that can be used for distributed printing on the Internet. The 78 protocol is heavily influenced by the printing model introduced in 79 the Document Printing Application (ISO/IEC 10175 DPA) standard, which 80 describes a distributed printing service. DPA identifies the end user 81 and administrative roles associated with a distributed printing 82 service, and defines the set of operations supported by the service. 83 This IPP specification (version 1.0) deals only with the end user 84 85 role. These ideas and concepts, when unified with other Internet 86 protocols and services, realize a distributed print service for the 87 Internet.

This specification uses the verbs: "shall", "should", "may", and "need not" to specify conformance requirements as follows:

90 - "shall": indicates an action that the subject of the sentence 91 must implement in order to claim conformance to this specification

126

127

128

129 130

92 93 94 95		- "may": indicates an action that the subject of the sentence does not have to implement in order to claim conformance to this specification, in other words that action is an implementation option				
96 97 98 99		- "need not": indicates an action that the subject of the sentence does not have to implement in order to claim conformance to this specification. The verb "need not" is used instead of "may not", since "may not" sounds like a prohibition.				
100 101 102		- "should": indicates an action that is recommended for the subject of the sentence to implement, but is not required, in order to claim conformance to this specification.				
103	2.	Naming				
104 105 106		Clients identify Printer objects by using an HTTP type URL. For example, a URL for a Printer object named "printer-1" whose network node's domain name is "some.domain.com", might look like:				
107		http://some.domain.com/printer-1				
108 109 110		In this case, the URL identifies the use of the HTTP protocol. The Printer is located at the node identified by the DNS name "some.domain.com" and "printer-1" is the name of the Printer.				
111		Another example is the following URL:				
112		http://1.2.3.4:nnn/printer-2				
113 114 115 116 117		In this case, the URL identifies the use of the HTTP protocol. The Printer is located at the node identified by the IP address of "1.2.3.4" using port nnn for the HTTP server, and "printer-2" is the name of the Printer. (The actual value of nnn is to be assigned by IANA as part of this standards project).				
118 119 120		It is not necessary to expose the Job Template objects that might be associated with a given printer as separate objects. They can be exposed in two ways through URL naming.				
121 122 123		- The Job Template can be hidden from the end user by a URL that represents just the Job Template name (but does not expose the Printer object name) as the two URLS				
124		1) http://some.domain.com/two-sided-printer, and				

2) http://some.domain.com/draft-printer.

These look like two different Printers , but underneath they

represent the same Printer object, but that Printer object has two

associated Job Templates and each is exposed through a different

URL for the same Printer object. Each one of the Job Templates

131	specified by a URL would contain a different Job Template default
132	attribute set. One Job Template would contain the defaults for
133	two-sides printing and the other would contain the defaults for
134	draft printing.

- The Job Template can be exposed along with the name of the Printer object directly in the URL as in:
- 137 1) http://some.domain.com/hr-printer/resumes
- 138 2) http://some.domain.com/hr-printer/1040forms

In this case there are "resumes" and "1040forms" Job Templates associated with the "hr-printer" Printer.

This specification establishes, through IANA, a new well known port, port nnn, for the use of IPP over HTTP. The purpose of this new well known port would be to distinguish printing from non-printing content. While any acceptable HTTP content could be inter-mixed over HTTP well known port 80, only IPP printing would be acceptable on port nnn.

147 2.1 Directory Services

IPP does not require any specific directory service. However, this specification does define a generic schema that can be used for any specific instance of a directory service. That is, some of the attributes from the Printer object are called out as attributes that may be added to a directory entry which represents that Printer. This allows directory users to find and locate IPP Printers by either a simple name look up or by some filtered attribute search.

2.2 Directory Entry Schema

The following attributes define the generic directory entry schema. All directories entries for IPP Printers in all types of directories should support at least these attributes.

Issue: The use of "objective" attributes vs. "subjective" attributes still needs to be resolved. For example, for Maximum Print Quality is it better to have values like "high", "medium", "low" or to have explicit, quantified, measurable values? Some of the issues are: end users don't often know what explicit objective values are or what they really mean and they want to depend on an administrator to define what is "high" quality printing and what is "low" quality, especially since today's objective values that equate to "high" are tomorrow's objective values that equate to "medium". On the other hand, some end users demand the control and power explicit values can give them when they do filtered searching. For example, they know and appreciate the difference between 20 ppm printers and 23 ppm printers.

- 174 Issue: We must specify which attributes are "mandatory" and which are
- 175 "optional". LDAP uses the terms "must" and "may" to identify
- attributes that "must" appear and attributes that "may" appear in a
- given entry in the directory.
- 178 2.2.1 Name
- 179 This directory attribute is the printers name. It is a URL so it
- 180 contains sufficient information to not only name, but to address the
- 181 printer using IPP as well.
- 182 2.2.2 Description
- 183 This directory attribute is a free form string that can contain any
- 184 site-specific descriptive information about this printer.
- 185 2.2.3 Location
- 186 This directory attribute is a free form string that can contain any
- 187 site specific location information.
- 188 In order for filtered searches to be more effective, a given site may
- 189 use some regular structuring within the string values such as
- 190 "SITE:USA-San Jose, BUILDING: A1, FLOOR: 2, ROOM: 555" or "department5-
- 191 2ndFloor-A5-IndianHills-Chicago-IL-USA".
- 192 2.2.4 Maximum Print Quality
- 193 This directory attribute indicates a somewhat subjective evaluation
- of the overall printing quality. The syntax and values shall be the
- same as for the print-quality Job attribute.
- 196 2.2.5 Cost
- 197 This directory attribute indicates a somewhat subjective evaluation
- of the overall cost of printing at this printer: "high", "medium", or
- 199 "low".
- 200 2.2.6 Resolution
- 201 This directory attribute is the maximum resolution of the Printer in
- 202 dpi
- 203 The syntax and semantics shall be the same as for the printer-
- 204 resolution-select job attribute.
- 205 2.2.7 Color Supported
- 206 This directory attribute specifies whether the Printer supports color
- and, if so, what type. The values are a type2Enum (see section 6).

- Standard values are: "none", "highlight", "three color (CMY)", "four color (CMYK)", "monochromatic".
- 210 2.2.8 Fonts Supported
- 211 This directory attribute takes on a list of fonts that are supported
- 212 by the printer. The syntax and values shall be the same as for the
- fonts-used job attribute..
- 214 2.2.9 Maximum Speed
- This directory attribute is the maximum speed of the printer ppm,
- ipm, spm, lpm, or cps. The syntax and values shall be the same as
- for the maximum-printer-speed Printer attribute.
- 218 2.2.10 Device Id
- 219 This directory attribute can be used for automatic driver download,
- 220 database access, or other automatic configuration tasks. It might be
- 221 used to generate a platform specific id such as the Windows Plug-and-
- 222 Play id.
- 223 Issue: Is this the IEEE 1284-1994 device id, the Object Identifier as
- 224 used in the Host Resource MIB hrDeviceId object, or some other
- 225 identifier?
- 226 2.2.11 Make and Model
- 227 This directory attribute is a simple text string defined by the
- 228 manufacturer that contains some reference to the make and model of
- the entity being represented to the end-user by this Printer object.
- 230 The syntax shall be:
- vendor-name "/" model-name
- 232 where the vendor-name is the same as that registered with IANA for
- use in domain names.
- For example: "vendor-x/super-duper-printer".
- 235 2.2.12 Marker Type
- 236 This directory attribute is the printing mechanism of the print
- 237 device: electrophotographic-laser, inkjet-aqueous, thermal-transfer,
- 238 etc. The syntax and values shall be the same as for the printer-
- 239 types Printer attribute, except the value of the Marker Type
- 240 directory attribute shall be single-valued

- 241 2.2.13 Document Formats Supported
- 242 This directory attribute is a list of all of the document formats
- that the printer and/or its interpreter(s) support. The syntax and
- values shall be the same as for the document-format Job attribute.
- 245 2.2.14 Sides Supported
- 246 This directory attribute specifies the capabilities of the Printer
- for marking on sides of the medium. The syntax and values shall be
- the same as the sides Job attribute.
- 249 2.2.15 Finishings Supported
- 250 This directory attribute identifies the finishing operations
- 251 supported by the Printer. The syntax and values shall be the same as
- 252 the finishing job attribute.
- 253 2.3 Directory Entries Using LDAP
- To allow directory users to locate an IPP Printer, a corresponding
- 255 entry must be defined within a directory. This section describes how
- this is done using the Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP).
- 257 The LDAP directory entry includes the name of the entry and the
- attributes as defined in "4.2 Directory Entry Schema". The following
- is an example of how to define a directory entry for a Printer object
- using LDAP. It is given to assist the reader's understanding of this
- 261 specification.
- To create a Printer object directory entry using LDAP:
- 263 1. An administrator uses a program to create an entry for the Printer
- 264 object on a directory server that supports LDAP. The administrator
- defines the Distinguished Name (dn) and the default subjective
- attributes for the Printer object directory entry.
- 267 Issue: Should the administrator also define default objective
- 268 attributes or wait for the Printer object itself to initialize these
- 269 attributes?
- 2. The Printer object invokes the ldap_open API to open a connection
- 271 to the directory server:
- 272 Example: ld=ldap open ("dir.host.name", LDAP PORT)
- 273 where ld is the connection handle for subsequent LDAP APIs.
- 274 3. The Printer object invokes an ldap "bind" API to authenticate with
- the directory server.

```
276
         Example: ldap_simple_bind_s (ld, dn, NULL) (which does a simple
277
         authentication without a password).
278
         4. The Printer object invokes the ldap_modify or ldap_modify_s API to
279
         define the objective attributes for the Printer object entry as
280
         identified by its Distinguished Name (dn).
281
        Example: ldap_modify_s (ld, dn, mods) (where mods is a NULL-
282
         terminated array of objective attributes and values to add or modify
283
         in the directory entry)
284
         5. The Printer object invokes the ldap unbind API to close the
285
         connection to the directory server.
286
        Example: ldap_unbind (ld)
287
        When one or more objective attributes are modified for a Printer
         object, the Printer object repeats steps 2-5 to update the modified
288
        objective attributes in its directory entry.
289
290
         To locate a Printer object entry using LDAP, a program can use the
291
        ldap_search or ldap_search APIs or a user can specify an LDAP URL.
292
        For example, to locate all Printer objects that support duplex, a
293
        user can specify URL:
294
        ldap:///dir.host.name???(&(objectClass=printer)
295
            (sides-supported=2-sided-long-edge))
296
297
         Issue: Is it allowed to filter the search based on the object class
298
         itself, in this case the object class of Printer? We need to define
         this new object class. How do we do this? One proposal is to
299
300
         subclass the device class defined in X.500:
301
            printer OBJECT-CLASS ::= {
302
               SUBCLASS OF {device}
303
               MUST CONTAIN {<list of mandatory attributes>}
               MAY CONTAIN {<list of optional attributes>}
304
305
306
      3. Security Considerations
307
         This protocol does not identify any new authentication mechanisms.
        The authentication mechanisms built into HTTP (such as SSL and SHTTP)
308
        are recommended.
309
310
        This protocol does define a simple authorization mechanism by
311
        introducing the "end-user-acl" attribute as part of the Printer
        object. This ACL attribute is a multi-valued list of all of the
312
313
        authenticated names of end-users. This protocol does not specify
```

what the domain is for names in this ACL attribute.

359

315			: Will it always be possible for a Printer to obtain a					
316		meaningful authenticated name that the Printer can match against the						
317		end-user-acl, or will some other mechanism be necessary, such as a						
318		passw	ord?					
319	4.	Refer	rences					
320 321 322		[1]	Smith, R., Wright, F., Hastings, T., Zilles, S., and Gyllenskog, J., "Printer MIB", RFC 1759, March 1995.					
323 324 325		[2]	Berners-Lee, T, Fielding, R., and Nielsen, H., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol - HTTP/1.0", RFC 1945, August 1995.					
326 327 328		[3]	Crocker, D., "Standard for the Format of ARPA Internet Text Messages", RFC 822, August 1982.					
329 330 331		[4]	Postel, J., "Instructions to RFC Authors", RFC 1543, October 1993.					
332 333 334		[5]	ISO/IEC 10175 Document Printing Application (DPA), Final, June 1996.					
335 336 337		[6]	Herriot, R. (editor), X/Open A Printing System Interoperability Specification (PSIS), August 1995.					
338 339 340		[7]	<pre>Kirk, M. (editor), POSIX System Administration - Part 4: Printing Interfaces, POSIX 1387.4 D8, 1994.</pre>					
341 342 343 344 345		[8]	Borenstein, N., and Freed, N., "MIME (Multi-purpose Internet Mail Extensions) Part One: Mechanism for Specifying and Describing the Format of Internet Message Bodies", RFC 1521, September, 1993.					
346 347 348		[9]	Braden, S., "Requirements for Internet Hosts - Application and Support", RFC 1123, October, 1989,					
349 350 351		[10]	McLaughlin, L. III, (editor), "Line Printer Daemon Protocol" RFC 1179, August 1990.					
352 353 354		[11]	Berners-Lee, T., Masinter, L., McCahill, M., "Uniform Resource Locators (URL)", RFC 1738, December, 1994.					
355	5.	Autho	or's Address					
356 357								

361

deBry, Hastings, Herriot, Isaacson December 19, 1996, Version 1.0 [Page 10]