IEEE-ISTO

Industry Standards and Technology Organization affiliated with the IEEE and the IEEE Standards Association

IEEE

The Printer Working Group (PWG)

Proposed Standard for

Internet Printing Protocol (IPP):
"-actual" attributes extension



18 October Version 0.2, December 5, 2002

24

26

29

30

31

32

34

36

40

43

45

47 48

51 52

54

25 27 28 The Printer Working Group (PWG) **Proposed Standard for** Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): "-actual" attributes extension 33 Version 0.2, December 5, 2002 35 37 38 39 Abstract: This document defines an extension to the Internet Printing Protocol/1.0 (IPP/1.0) [RFC2566, RFC2565] & IPP/1.1 [RFC2911, RFC2910] for the OPTIONAL "-actual" set of Job Description attributes that correspond to Job 41 Template attributes defined in IPP. These "-actual" attributes allow the client to determine the true results of a print 42 job regardless of what was specified in the Create-Job or Print-Job operation. 44 This version of the PWG Proposed Standard is available electronically at: This document is available electronically at: 46 ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ipp/new_ACT/pwg-ippIPP-actual-attrsibutes-v02-021205.pdf, .doc This document is an IEEE-ISTO PWG Proposed standard. For a definition of a "PWG Proposed Standard" and its transition to a "PWG Draft Standard", see: ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/general/pwg-process.pdf. After approval by the PWG (by a Last Call) to transition this Proposed Standard to a Draft Standard, an IEEE-ISTO number will be 49 assigned and this PWG Draft Standard will be available electronically at: 50 ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/standards/ 53

55

Copyright (C) 2002, IEEE ISTO. All rights reserved.

- This document may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on, or otherwise explain it
- or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without
- restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice, this paragraph and the title of the Document as
- referenced below are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be
- 60 modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the IEEE-ISTO and the Printer
- Working Group, a program of the IEEE-ISTO.
- 62 Title: The Printer Working Group Standard for the Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): "-actual" attributes extension
- 63 The IEEE-ISTO and the Printer Working Group DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL WARRANTIES, WHETHER EXPRESS
- OR IMPLIED INCLUDING (WITHOUT LIMITATION) ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR
- 65 FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.
- The Printer Working Group, a program of the IEEE-ISTO, reserves the right to make changes to the document
- 67 without further notice. The document may be updated, replaced or made obsolete by other documents at any time.
- The IEEE-ISTO takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might
- be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to
- 70 which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any
- 71 effort to identify any such rights.
- 72 The IEEE-ISTO invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents, or patent applications, or
- other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to implement the contents of this
- document. The IEEE-ISTO and its programs shall not be responsible for identifying patents for which a license may
- 75 be required by a document and/or IEEE-ISTO Industry Group Standard or for conducting inquiries into the legal
- 76 validity or scope of those patents that are brought to its attention. Inquiries may be submitted to the IEEE-ISTO by e-
- 77 mail at:
- 78 ieee-isto@ieee.org.
- 79 The Printer Working Group acknowledges that the IEEE-ISTO (acting itself or through its designees) is, and shall at
- 80 all times, be the sole entity that may authorize the use of certification marks, trademarks, or other special
- 81 designations to indicate compliance with these materials.
- 82 Use of this document is wholly voluntary. The existence of this document does not imply that there are no other
- ways to produce, test, measure, purchase, market, or provide other goods and services related to its scope.

About the IEEE-ISTO

85

86

87

88

89

91

92

93

94

95

96

97 98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108 109

110

111 112

84

The IEEE-ISTO is a not-for-profit corporation offering industry groups an innovative and flexible operational forum and support services. The IEEE-ISTO provides a forum not only to develop standards, but also to facilitate activities that support the implementation and acceptance of standards in the marketplace. The organization is affiliated with the IEEE (http://www.ieee.org/) and the IEEE Standards Association (http://standards.ieee.org/).

90 For additional information regarding the IEEE-ISTO and its industry programs visit http://www.ieee-isto.org.

About the IEEE-ISTO PWG

The Printer Working Group (or PWG) is a Program of the IEEE Industry Standards and Technology Organization (ISTO) with member organizations including printer manufacturers, print server developers, operating system providers, network operating systems providers, network connectivity vendors, and print management application developers. The group is chartered to make printers and the applications and operating systems supporting them work together better. All references to the PWG in this document implicitly mean "The Printer Working Group, a Program of the IEEE ISTO." In order to meet this objective, the PWG will document the results of their work as open standards that define print related protocols, interfaces, procedures and conventions. Printer manufacturers and vendors of printer related software will benefit from the interoperability provided by voluntary conformance to these standards.

In general, a PWG standard is a specification that is stable, well understood, and is technically competent, has multiple, independent and interoperable implementations with substantial operational experience, and enjoys significant public support.

For additional information regarding the Printer Working Group visit: http://www.pwg.org

Contact information:

IPP Web Page: http://www.pwg.org/ipp/ IPP Mailing List: ipp@pwg.org

To subscribe to the ipp mailing list, send the following email:

- 1) send it to majordomo@pwg.org
- 2) leave the subject line blank
- 3) put the following two lines in the message body:

subscribe ipp

end

113 114 115

116

117

Implementers of this specification are encouraged to join the IPP Mailing List in order to participate in any discussions of clarifications or review of registration proposals for additional names. Requests for additional media names, for inclusion in this specification, should be sent to the IPP Mailing list for consideration.

Contents

118

| 119 | 1 | Introduction | |
|-----|--------------|---|------------|
| 120 | | 1.1 Problem. | |
| 121 | | 1.2 Solution | |
| 122 | | 1.3 Alternative solutions | <u>9</u> |
| 123 | | 1.4 Scope | <u>9</u> |
| 124 | 2 | Terminology | 9 |
| 125 | | 2.1 Conformance Terminology | 9 |
| 126 | | 2.2 Other Terminology | <u>10</u> |
| 127 | 3 | "-actual" attributes | 10 |
| 128 | | 3.1 Overall philosophy | 12 |
| 129 | | 3.2 Relationship between "-actual" attributes and Job Template attributes | |
| 130 | | 3.3 Timeline of values | |
| 131 | | 3.4 Multi-valued | <u>13</u> |
| 132 | | 3.5 Existing attributes that are similar to "-actual" attributes | |
| 133 | 4 | New attribute group values | <u>14</u> |
| 134 | <u>5</u> | Conformance Requirements | <u>14</u> |
| 135 | 6 | Security Considerations | <u>14</u> |
| 136 | 7 | References | 15 |
| 137 | | 7.1 Normative References | 15 |
| 138 | | 7.2 Informative references | <u> 16</u> |
| 139 | 8 | IANA Considerations | 16 |
| 140 | <u>o</u> | 8.1 Attribute Registrations | |
| 141 | | 8.2 Attribute Group tag Registrations | |
| 142 | 9 | | |
| 143 | 1 | Introduction 1 | |
| 144 | _ | 1.1 Problem 1 | |
| 145 | | 1.2 Solution2 | |
| 146 | | 1.3 Alternative solutions | |
| 147 | 2 | | |
| 148 | _ | 2.1 Conformance Terminology 2 | |
| 149 | | 2.2 Other Terminology2 | |
| 150 | 2 | "-actual" Job Description attributes | |
| 151 | - | 3.1 Overall philosophy | |
| 152 | | 3.2 Relationship between "-actual" attributes and Job Template attributes | |
| 153 | | 3.3 Timeline of values5 | |
| 154 | | 3.4 Multi-valued5 | |
| 155 | 4_ | Conformance Requirements5 | |
| 156 | 5_ | Security Considerations 5 | |
| 157 | 6- | References5 | |
| 158 | 7_ | Author's Address6 | |
| | | | |

| | Page 6 of 17 | IEEE-ISTO Draft 0.1 for 5100.9-2002 |
|----|--|-------------------------------------|
| 60 | Tables | |
| 61 | Table 1 – "-actual" Job Description attributes | 11 |

Page 7 of 17

Attributes

163

179

Page 8 of 17

Introduction

- 164 This document specifies an extension to the Internet Printing Protocol/1.0 (IPP) [RFC2565, RFC2566] and IPP/1.1 165 [RFC2910, RFC2911]. This extension consists of a set of OPTIONAL Job Description attributes that correspond to the set of Job Template attributes defined in IPP. Specifically, for each Job Template attribute, there is a 166 corresponding "-actual" attribute that reports the value that was actually used, or will be used, in the processing of the 167 job. As an example, along with the "copies" Job Template attribute would be the new "copies-actual" Job Description 168 attribute, which would have a value corresponding to the actual number of copies of the job that were printed (or are 169 170 going to print).
- 171 These attributes permit an IPP Printer to report much more accurate status to an IPP client. There are two aspects 172 to this. First, These attributes ultimately allow the client canto determine the true results of a print job regardless of what was specified in the Create-Job or Print-Job operation. But second, a client can often find out in advance what 173 174 the true results are expected to be; for example, it can tell a user "Copy 3 of 7" rather than simply "Copy 3".
- 175 Similarly, the "-actual" concept extends to Document Template attributes as well; that is, for each Document Template attribute, there is a corresponding "-actual" Document Description attribute. Document Template and 176 Document Description attributes are part of the Document Object extension to IPP that is specified in [docobi]. 177
- 178 These new attributes are OPTIONAL for a Printer to support, and are OPTIONAL for a client to use.

1.1 Problem

- 180 In IPP/1.0 and IPP/1.1, it is possible for a client to request specific job processing behavior, through the use of the Job Template attributes. Some examples of Job Template attributes are "copies", "sides", and "media". The client 181 specifies these attributes in the Jiob Cereation operation—for example, the Print-Job operation. It is also possible to 182 query the values of those Job Template attributes, using, for example, the Get-Job-Attributes operation. Note that 183 184 the value returned in a query is always the same as the value that was specified on the Jiob Cereation operation.
- 185 It is also possible for a Printer to state, uUsing the "pdl-override-supported" Printer Description attribute, it is possible for awhether the Printer to indicate that it will attempt to override any instructions in the PDL with the values given by 186 the Job Template attributes. Imagine a job that was submitted with Job Template attribute "copies" set to 5, but the 187 actual PDL contained in the job specified 3 copies. A Printer that supports PDL override (that is, returns a value of 188 'attempted' for the "pdl-override-supported" attribute) promises to attempt to print that job with 5 copies rather than 3. 189
- Thus, while IPP defines and facilitates a Putting the above facts together, there is a well-defined case where the client 190 can request a number of copies through the "copies" attribute and be somewhat confident that the request will be 191 honored.-192
- 193 However, in practice, this case is not necessarily the most prevalent case.
- 194 First, many clients either do not or cannot specify the Job Template attributes instructions themselves. As an example, a client integrated into the Windows print subsystem must be either, in Windows terms, a print provider or a 195 print monitor. Neither of these components in the print subsystem have GUIs set up for the user to provide 196
- 197 processing behavior requests. Instead, in Windows, these requests are typically made through the print driver and 198 therefore embedded in the PDL of the job.
- 199 Similarly, many Printers do not support PDL override, possibly due to architecture constraints or limits based on the capabilities or costsize of the Printer. For such Printers, a Job Template attribute value specified by the client does 200 201 not necessarily have any correlation with the actual value used; for example, specifying a "copies" value of 3 has absolutely no effect on the number of copies produced. 202

PWG Standard for IPP: "-actual" Attributes **Proposed Standard** Page 9 of 17IEEE-ISTO Draft 0.1 for 5100.9-2002 The Printer Working Group Standard for IPP "actual" Attributes

Also note that even when the Printer supports PDL override, this is only an indication that the Printer willit only 203 204

promises to attempt to override. There is no guarantee that the requested value will end up being the "actual" value.

205 Therefore, there is a need for a method to allow clients to find out what actually happened with a job: Did it actually 206 print 5 copies?

1.2 Solution

- 208 The solution to this problem is to add a set of Job Description attributes to report these "what actually happened"
- values. There willwould be one such Job Description attribute for each Job Template attribute. This extends the 209
- pregoes along with the already-existing concept that each Job Template attribute has a corresponding "-supported" 210
- and "-default" attribute; now there will also be a corresponding "-actual" attribute. These new attributes can be 211
- queried using existing operations to retrieve information on what actually happened, or what will actually happen, for 212
- 213 a iob.

207

214

228

1.3 Alternative solutions

- 215 There are a number of possible solutions to this problem. The solution discussed in this document is considered to
- be the one with the least impact on the overall architecture of IPP, creating the least churn on the model while 216
- providing full support to clients to discover the "actual" processing behavior. 217
- Many have remarked that what is being described here is essentially what is currently in the industry being termed a 218
- "Job Ticket". Adding full job ticket support to IPP would be beneficial and would solve this problem. However, this 219
- effort is expected to be complicated and result in a possibly significant update to the IPP architecture. Also, adding 220
- 221 full job ticket support might be too costly for smaller IPP implementations. The solution described here can, then,
- 222 could be thought of as an inexpensive alternative to a full job ticket solution.

1.4 Scope 223

- 224 While implementers of this specification may use the "-actual" attributes to perform accounting functions, this
- specification is intended to address information that is displayed to a human user. 225

2 **Terminology** 226

This section defines terminology used throughout this document. 227

2.1 **Conformance Terminology**

- Capitalized terms, such as MUST, MUST NOT, REQUIRED, SHOULD, SHOULD NOT, MAY, NEED NOT, and 229
- OPTIONAL, have special meaning relating to conformance as defined in RFC 2119 [RFC2119] and [RFC2911] 230
- section 12.1. If an implementation supports the extension defined in this document, then these terms apply; 231
- 232 otherwise, they do not. These terms define conformance to this document (and [RFC2911]) only, they do not affect
- conformance to other documents, unless explicitly stated otherwise. To be more specific: 233
- 234 REQUIRED - an adjective used to indicate that a conforming IPP Printer implementation MUST support the indicated
- 235 operation, object, attribute, attribute value, status code, or out-of-band value in requests and responses. See
- 236 [RFC2911] "Appendix A - Terminology for a definition of "support". Since support of this entire specification is

| Proposed Standard | PWG Standard for IPP: "-actual" |
|---|---|
| Attributes IEEE-ISTO Draft 0.1 for 5100.9-2002 | The Printer Working Group Standard for IPP "-actual" |
| Attributes | • |
| Page 10 of 17 | |
| OPTIONAL for conformance to IPP/1.1, the use of the t | erm REQUIRED in this document means "REQUIRED if this |

237 OPTIONAL for conformance to IPP/1.1, the use of the term REQUIRED in this document means "REQUIRED if this OPTIONAL Document Object specification is implemented".

RECOMMENDED - an adjective used to indicate that a conforming IPP Printer implementation is recommended to support the indicated operation, object, attribute, attribute value, status code, or out-of-band value in requests and responses. Since support of this entire specification is OPTIONAL for conformance to IPP/1.1, the use of the term RECOMMENDED in this document means "RECOMMENDED if this OPTIONAL Document Object specification is implemented".

OPTIONAL - an adjective used to indicate that a conforming IPP Printer implementation MAY, but is NOT REQUIRED to, support the indicated operation, object, attribute, attribute value, status code, or out-of-band value in requests and responses.

Capitalized terms, such as MUST, MUST NOT, REQUIRED, SHOULD, SHOULD NOT, MAY, NEED NOT, and OPTIONAL, have special meaning relating to conformance to this specification. These terms are defined in [RFC2911 section 13.1 on conformance terminology, most of which is taken from RFC 2119 [RFC2119]. Since support of this entire IPP extension specification is OPTIONAL for conformance to IPP/1.0 ([RFC2566], [RFC2565]) or IPP/1.1 ([RFC2911], [RFC2910]), the terms MUST, MUST NOT, REQUIRED, SHOULD, SHOULD NOT, MAY, NEED NOT, and OPTIONAL apply *if and only if the extension specification in this document is implemented.* Thus a feature labeled as REQUIRED in this document is not REQUIRED if implementing the basic IPP/1.1 protocol defined by [RFC2911] and [RFC2910].

2.2 Other Terminology

This document uses the same terminology as [RFC2911], such as "client", "Printer", "attribute", "attribute", "keyword", "Job Template attribute", "Operation attribute", "operation", "request", and "support" with the same meaning. In addition, terms such as "Document Object", "Document Template attribute", "Job Creation operation", and "Document Creation operation" are used with the same meaning as they have in [docobj].

_This glossary defines certain terms used in this specification which may not be generally familiar or which may be used with very specific meaning. These definitions are not intended to be absolute but do reflect the use of the terms within this specification.

IETF Internet Engineering Task Force. A volunteer group that develops and approves standards that are relative to the Internet.

ISO International Organization for Standardization.

3 "-actual" Job Description attributes

For each Job Template attribute defined in the IPP Model [RFC2566, RFC2911], or defined in any IPP extension document, a new Job Description attribute is defined. This new attribute will be referred to as an "-actual" attribute, since the name of such attributes is found by taking the name of the Job Template attribute and appending "-actual". The "-actual" attribute is multi-valued, where each value has the same syntax as the corresponding Job Template attribute. For example, the "copies" (integer(1:MAX)) Job Template attribute has a new corresponding "copies-actual" (1setOf integer(1:MAX)) Job Description attribute. Another example is the "media-col" (collection) Job Template attribute, which now has a new corresponding "media-col-actual" (1setOf collection) Job Description attribute, where each value in the 1setOf has the same collection syntax, with the same member attributes, as the "media-col" attribute.

actual" Attributes

- The only exception to the rule that each value of the "-actual" attribute has the same syntax as the corresponding Job Template attribute is for Job Template attributes that are themselves multi-valued. For example, the "finishings"
- 278 (1setOf (type2 enum)) attribute has a corresponding "finishings-actual" (1setOf (type2 enum)) attribute that has the same syntax; that is, the syntax is (1setOf (type2 enum)) instead of (1setOf (1setOf (type2 enum))).
- 280 As with Job Template attributes, for each Document Template attribute defined in [docobj], a new Document
- Description attribute is defined, whose name is found by appending "-actual" to the Document Template attribute name.
- These new attributes are OPTIONAL for a Printer to support, and are OPTIONAL for a client to use.
- Table 1 Delow lists the "-actual" Job Description attributes for all Job Template attributes in existence in approved IPP standard documents as of the date of this document.
- Many of the Job Template attributes shown in Table 1 are also Document Template attributes—for such attributes, the second column of Table 1 shows the name and type of the corresponding "-actual" Document Description attribute. To know which attributes these are, see [docobi].

Table 1 – "-actual" Job Description attributes

| Job Template Attribute | "-actual" Job Description attribute | Reference |
|--|---|----------------------|
| copies (integer(1:MAX)) | copies-actual (1setOf integer(1:MAX)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.5 |
| cover-back (collection) | cover-back-actual (1setOf (collection)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.1 |
| cover-front (collection) | cover-front-actual (1setOf (collection)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.1 |
| document-overrides (1setOf collection) | document-overrides-actual (1setOf collection) | [PWG5100.4] §5.1 |
| finishings (1setOf (type2 enum)) | finishings-actual (1setOf (type2 enum)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.6 and |
| | | [PWG5100.1] §2 |
| finishings-col (collection) | finishings-col-actual (1setOf (collection)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.2 |
| force-front-side (1setOf integer(1:MAX)) | force-front-side-actual (1setOf (1setOf integer(1:MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.3 |
| imposition-template (type3 keyword name(MAX)) | imposition-template-actual (1setOf (type3 keyword | [PWG5100.3] §3.4 |
| | name(MAX))) | |
| insert-sheet (collection) | insert-sheet-actual (1setOf (collection)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.5 |
| job-account-id (name(MAX)) | job-account-id-actual (1setOf (name(MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.6 |
| job-accounting-sheets (collection) | job-accounting-sheets-actual (1setOf (collection)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.8 |
| job-accounting-user-id (name(MAX)) | job-accounting-user-id-actual (1setOf (name(MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.7 |
| job-error-sheet (collection) | job-error-sheet-actual (1setOf (collection)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.9 |
| job-hold-until (type3 keyword name) | job-hold-until-actual (1setOf (type3 keyword name)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.2 |
| job-message-to-operator (text(MAX)) | job-message-to-operator-actual (1setOf (text(MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.10 |
| job-priority (integer(1:100)) | job-priority-actual (1setOf integer(1:100)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.1 |
| job-sheets (type3 keyword name) | job-sheets-actual (1setOf (type3 keyword name)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.3 |
| job-sheets-col (collection) | job-sheets-col-actual (1setOf (collection)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.11 |
| job-sheet-message (text(MAX)) | job-sheet-message-actual (1setOf (text(MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.12 |
| media (type3 keyword name(MAX)) | media-actual (1setOf (type3 keyword name(MAX))) | [RFC2911] §4.2.11 |
| media-col (collection) | media-col-actual (1setOf (collection)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.13 |
| media-input-tray-check (type3 keyword name(MAX)) | media-input-tray-check-actual (1setOf(type3 keyword name(MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.14 |

Attributes

Page 12 of 17

| Job Template Attribute | "-actual" Job Description attribute | Reference |
|---|---|---------------------|
| multiple-document-handling (type2 keyword) | multiple-document-handling-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.4 |
| number-up (integer(1:MAX)) | number-up-actual (1setOf integer(1:MAX)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.9 |
| orientation-requested (type2 enum) | orientation-requested-actual (1setOf (type2 enum)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.10 |
| output-bin (type2 keyword name(MAX)) | output-bin-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword name(MAX))) | [PWG5100.2] §2.1 |
| page-delivery (type2 keyword) | page-delivery-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.15 |
| page-order-received (type2 keyword) | page-order-received-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.16 |
| page-overrides (1setOf collection) | page-overrides-actual (1setOf collection) | [PWG5100.4] §5.2 |
| page-ranges (1setOf rangeOfInteger(1:MAX)) | page-ranges-actual (1setOf rangeOfInteger(1:MAX)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.7 |
| pages-per-subset (1setOf integer) | pages-per-subset-actual (1setOf integer) | [PWG5100.4] §5.3 |
| Ppresentation-direction-number-up (type2 keyword) | Presentation-direction-number-up-actual (1setOf(type2 keyword)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.17 |
| printer-quality (type2 enum) | printer-quality-actual (1setOf (type2 enum)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.13 |
| printer-resolution (resolution) | printer-resolution-actual (1setOf resolution) | [RFC2911] §4.2.12 |
| separator-sheets (collection) | Separator-sheets-actual (1setOf (collection)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.18 |
| sheet-collate (type2 keyword) | sheet-collate-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword)) | [RFC3381] §3.1 |
| sides (type2 keyword) | sides-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.8 |
| x-image-position (type2 keyword) | x-image-position-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.19.2 |
| x-image-shift (integer (MIN:MAX)) | x-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.19.3 |
| x-side1-image-shift (integer (MIN:MAX)) | x-side1-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.19.4 |
| x-side2-image-shift (integer (MIN:MAX)) | x-side2-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.19.5 |
| y-image-position (type2 keyword) | y-image-position-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.19.6 |
| y-image-shift (integer (MIN:MAX)) | y-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.19.7 |
| y-side1-image-shift (integer (MIN:MAX)) | y-side1-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.19.8 |
| y-side2-image-shift (integer (MIN:MAX)) | y-side2-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.19.9 |

290

295

296

297 298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

Note that Table 1 is not meant to be an exhaustive list of the "-actual" attributes a Printer might implement, as it lists only those attributes in existence in approved IPP standard documents as of the date of this document. For any Job Template or Document Template attributes created by past, present, or future IPP standard documents, this specification states that a corresponding "-actual" Job Description or Document Description exists and can be implemented by a Printer or queried by a client.

3.1 Overall philosophy

These attributes are to be set on a "best effort" basis by the Printer. It cannot be expected that a Printer that can return a known value for some "-actual" attribute will neveralways return a-the 'unknown' value for that attribute. Also, a Printer does not guarantee the accuracy of the value until the job/document has moved to a completion state (job-state/document-state is 'completed', 'canceled', or 'aborted').

In the same vein, a client SHOULD be robust in its use of these attributes, being able to handle both when the attribute is unknown and when the attribute changes value, including changing to a value different than that specified by the client. For example, the client might query for job attributes and present the status string "Printed page 2 of 4, Copy 3" since the "copies-actual" attribute was returned as 'unknown'. Then, the very next query it makes might have an updated—"copies-actual" valueattribute, since the Printer hade just determined the value, so the next status string presented might be "Printed page 3 of 4, Copy 3 of 6".

actual" Attributes

3.2 Relationship between "-actual" attributes and Job Template attributes

- A very important point about the new "-actual" attributes is that support for them is not in any way tied to the support
- for the corresponding Job/Document Template attributes. For example, a Printer that does not support PDL override
- 310 <u>will not support</u> the "copies" Job/<u>Document</u> Template attribute <u>either</u>. However, that same Printer SHOULD support
- the "copies-actual" Job/Document Description attribute if the Printer knows how many copies printed for a
- 312 job/document.

307

317

- 313 Similarly, the "-actual" attribute's existence is not in any way tied to the existence of the Job Template attribute on the
- 314 job creation request. Wwhether or not a value for a Job/Document Template attribute was included in the
- 315 Job/Document Creation operation number of copies was requested, the Printer SHOULD return the corresponding "-
- 316 <u>actual" attribute port on how many copies actually printed</u> if the value is known.

3.3 Timeline of values

- 318 As with all Job/Document Description attributes, if the value of a supported "-actual" attribute is not yet known for a
- job, it MUST be returned with the out-of-band 'unknown' value in any query.
- The value of an "-actual" attribute can change during the processing of a <u>j-l</u>ob/<u>Document</u>. The most obvious possible
- 321 change is from 'unknown' to an actual value, but other possibilities exist as well. For example, a Printer might be
- 322 planning on printing 5 copies of a job, but due to some error or to the job being canceled, the job might only print 3
- 323 copies. In this case, the "copies-actual" value would start at 5, then change to 3 at the point the Printer determines
- the final copy count will be 3.
- 325 A printer that supports a Job/Document Template attribute in such a way that the value of the attribute overrides any
- 326 <u>instructions in the PDL SHOULD populate the corresponding "-actual" attribute at the time at which it reads the</u>
- 327 <u>Job/Document Template attribute. On the other hand, for an attribute where the PDL might override the value</u>
- 328 provided for the Job/Document Template attribute, the Printer SHOULD wait until the PDL has been sufficiently
- 329 processed to determine the true value of the "-actual" attribute before populating it. If a Printer supports PDL override,
- 330 it SHOULD initialize the "-actual" attribute's value to be the value that was requested for the associated Job Template
- 331 attribute on the job creation operation.
- 332 In any case, a Printer MUST NOT return a value that it does not believe is the correct value; that is, even though the
- Printer can change the value later, it should never "guess" at the value.

334 3.4 Multi-valued

- All "-actual" attributes are multi-valued. If a certain attribute has more than one value for a <u>Job/Document</u>, such as a
- job that prints partly simplex and partly duplex, the Printer SHOULD include all values, in the order they were used.
- To obtain more fine-grained information, the "page-overrides-actual" and "document-overrides-actual" attributes can
- be used. For example, the "page-overrides-actual" attribute could be used to report that a job printed page 1 in
- simplex and the rest of the job in duplex. For more information on the format of these two attributes, see
- 340 [PWG5100.4].

341

3.5 Existing attributes that are similar to "-actual" attributes

- 342 There are three existing attributes in IPP that function in a similar way to the new "-actual" attributes: the "job-k-
- octets", "job-impressions", and "job-media-sheets" attributes. These attributes can be specified as operation
- 344 <u>attributes of a Job Creation operation, and are also available as Job Description attributes. When queried, the</u>
- Printer can return the value that was specified in the creation operation, or can return a different value that it has
- determined to be more accurate. For more information on these attributes, see [RFC2911], §4.3.17 and §3.2.1.1.

Proposed Standard PWG Standard for IPP: "-actual" Attributes IEEE-ISTO Draft 0.1 for 5100.9-2002 The Printer Working Group Standard for IPP "-actual" Attributes Page 14 of 17 4 New attribute group values To accommodate the ability of a client to guery the "-actual" attributes, a new attribute group value is defined for use with the Get-Job-Attributes and Get-Jobs operations. In addition to the existing attribute groups defined in [RFC2911] §3.3.4, the following attribute group value is now defined: - 'job-actual': the subset of the "-actual" Job Description attributes specified in this document that the implementation supports for Job objects. A Printer MUST support the 'job-actual' keyword. Similarly, a new attribute group value is defined for use with the Get-Document-Attributes and Get-Documents operations. In addition to the existing attribute groups defined in [docobj] §3.6, the following attribute group value is now defined: - 'document-actual': the subset of the "-actual" Document Description attributes specified in this document that the implementation supports for Document objects. A Printer that supports the Document Object MUST support the 'document-actual' keyword. **45** Conformance Requirements A printer SHOULD support an "-actual" attribute if it knows the value through any means, such as through the value of the corresponding Job/Document Template attribute, through the value specified on an IPP "Set" operation [RFC3380], through the PDL, or through some means external to IPP or the PDL. Any support for the "-actual" attributes is OPTIONAL for both Printers and clients, and any subset of the attributes can be supported. To conform to this specification, a Printer or client MUST comply with the descriptions in sections 3 and 4 above. Although a number of optional extensions to IPP are referred to in this document, support for those extensions is not

Although a number of optional extensions to IPP are referred to in this document, support for those extensions is not required in order to support the "-actual" attributes extension defined in this specification. For example, although this specification defines new Document Description attributes to go along with the Document Template attributes defined in the Document Object optional extension to IPP, a Printer or client could implement or use "-actual" attributes

without implementing the Document object.

56 Security Considerations

This specification will have no impact on the security burden of or potential threats to the importing system.

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357 358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365 366

371

372

67 References

7.1 Normative References

376 [PWG5100.1]

374

375

377

378

Hastings, T., and D. Fullman, "Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): "finishings" attribute value extension", ". IEEE-ISTO 5100.1-2001, February 5, 2001, ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/standards/pwg5100.1.pdf<work in progress>.

379 [PWG5100.2]

382 [PWG5100.3]

Ocke, K., and T. Hastings, "Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): Production Printing Attributes – Set 1", IEEE-
ISTO 5100.3-2001, February 12, 2001, ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/standards/pwg5100.3.pdfwork in progress.

386 [PWG5100.4]

Herriot, R., and K. Ocke, "Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): Override Attributes for Documents and Pages",

IEEE-ISTO 5100.4-2001, February 7, 2001, ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/standards/pwg5100.4.pdfwork in progress>.

390 [RFC2119]

391 S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", RFC 2119, March 1997.

392 [RFC2565]

Herriot, R., Butler, S., Moore, P. and R. Turner, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Encoding and Transport", RFC 2565, April 1999.

395 [RFC2566]

deBry, R., Hastings, T., Herriot, R., Isaacson, S. and P. Powell, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Model and Semantics", RFC 2566, April 1999.

398 [RFC2910]

Herriot, R., Butler, S., Moore, P., Turner, R. and J. Wenn, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport", RFC 2910, September 2000.

401 [RFC2911]

Hastings, T., Herriot, R., deBry, R., Isaacson, S. and P. Powell, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics", RFC 2911, September 2000.

404 [RFC3380]

Hastings, T., Herriot, R., Kugler, C. and H. Lewis, "Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): Job and Printer Set Operations", RFC 3380, September 2002.

page-order-received-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword))

Page 17 of 17 IEEE-ISTO Draft 0.1 for 5100.9-2002

The Printer Working Group Standard for IPP "-

actual" Attributes

```
451
     page-overrides-actual (1setOf collection)
452
     page-ranges-actual (1setOf rangeOfInteger(1:MAX))
453
     pages-per-subset-actual (1setOf integer)
     presentation-direction-number-up-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword))
454
455
     print-quality-actual (1setOf (type2 enum))
     printer-resolution-actual (1setOf resolution)
456
457
     separator-sheets-actual (1setOf (collection))
458
     sheet-collate-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword))
459
     sides-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword))
460
     x-image-position-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword))
     x-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX)))
461
462
     x-sidel-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX)))
     x-side2-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX)))
463
     y-image-position-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword))
464
465
     y-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX)))
466
     y-sidel-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX)))
467
     y-side2-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX)))
```

8.2 Attribute Group tag Registrations

469 The following table lists all the attribute group tags defined in this document. These are to be registered according to 470

the procedures in [RFC2911] section 6.5.

```
471
     Attribute Group tags:
```

472 job-actual

468

474

491

492

493

494

495

496

473 document-actual

79 Author's Address

475 **Dennis Carney IBM Printing Systems** 476 6300 Diagonal Highway 477 Boulder, CO 80301 478 Phone: 303 924 0565 479 480 Fax: 303 924 7434 481 e-mail: dcarney@us.ibm.com 482 483 Harry Lewis **IBM Printing Systems** 484 485 6300 Diagonal Highway 486 Boulder, CO 80301 Phone: 303 924 5337 487 Fax: 303 924 7434 488 e-mail: harryl@us.ibm.com 489 490

Additional contributors:

Tom Hastings, Xerox Ira McDonald, High North Gail Songer, Peerless

Peter Zehler, Xerox-<none so far>