

IDS Working Group

2009-11-12 Conference Call Minutes

1. Attendees

Lee Farrell	Canon
Ira McDonald	High North
Jerry Thrasher	Lexmark
Nancy Chen	Oki Data
Brian Smithson	Ricoh
Ron Nevo	Sharp
Bill Wagner	TIC
Pete Zehler	Xerox

2. Agenda

Brian Smithson opened the IDS session and provided the planned agenda topics:

- Identify minute taker
- Statement of IP Policy
- Accept Previous Minutes
<ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/minutes/IDS-call-minutes-20091029-update.pdf>
- Review Action Items
- Document Review (none at this time)
- Review Issues
- Next Steps / Next Meetings

3. Minutes Taker

Lee Farrell

4. PWG Operational Policy

It was noted that all attendees should be aware that the meeting is conducted under the PWG Membership and Intellectual Property rules. There were no objections.

5. Approve Minutes from October 29 2009 Teleconference

An updated version of the Oct 29 Minutes has been published. There were no objections.

IDS Working Group

2009-11-12 Conference Call Minutes

6. Review Action Items

NOTE: The latest Action Item spreadsheet is available at: <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/ActionItems/>

AI 001: Randy Turner will try to find other contacts that would be willing to work with the PWG to help deploy NEA health assessment. (Juniper, Symantec, Cisco are suggested candidates.) Is someone willing to sit down with the PWG and “have discussions”?

→ *Brian reported that Steve Hanna has sent Randy comments on the NEA mapping document draft. Randy was planning to update the draft with new additions, and reflecting Steve's comments on the current text.*

→ **ONGOING**

The following excerpt was read from a message received from Randy on Oct 30:

I had a discussion with Steve about contacting Symantec, as well as other vendors doing server-side validation frameworks for NAC. I'm still working on accumulating vendors that are doing NAC server-side stuff for TNC/NEA and MSNAP-compatible solutions. I hope to have something by our next teleconference. I have several vendor names but am trying to figure out the contact points within these organizations that would be relevant for hardcopy vendors to contact. By our next teleconference, I will supply a lot more information about Symantec and how IDS folks can start research interoperability and experimenting with Symantec regarding NAC/NAP.

AI 010: Brian Smithson will investigate whether a formal relationship document can be created between TCG and PWG. He will find out their position on liaison agreements.

→ *TCG Board says we can continue with the existing informal relationship. It will be similar to the relationship held with the IEEE P2600 group. [Status information, but no document access.]*

→ *Ira McDonald has volunteered as HCWG co-Chair. Ira and/or Brian will provide Liaison reports to the PWG in the future.*

→ **CLOSED**

AI 023: Mike Fenelon will take on the responsibility for creating a “value proposition” document to help justify the reason behind HCD NAP development. Peter Cybuck and Ron Nevo will provide market information as possible.

→ *Ron says that he and Peter are waiting for input from Mike. Mike is still in process to coordinate with Jane Maliouta replacement on this task.*

→ **OPEN**

AI 025: Peter Cybuck will do some market research about whether customers will accept the proposed method of gaining network access via SCCM.

→ *No new information available.*

→ **OPEN**

IDS Working Group

2009-11-12 Conference Call Minutes

AI 026: Joe Murdock will follow up with Eran Dvir (Microsoft) about the SCCM issues, questions, and capabilities.

→ *No new information available.*

→ **OPEN**

AI 027: Joe Murdock will add NAP System Health ID to NAP Binding document and determine how to register a PWG system health ID value.

→ *No new information available.*

→ **OPEN**

7. Document Review

There have been no document updates to review.

8. Review Issues

The major open issue regarding the market perceived value of pursuing SCCM/NAP binding support for IDS Health Attributes remains open. The group is apparently in a waiting mode. What will the group plan to do at the next IDS face-to-face meeting in December?

What other items could/should the IDS group focus on? Should there be any attempt to create a “PWG-sponsored” SHV?

A question was raised regarding the method of supporting multiple vendor-specific SHVs.

AI 028: Jerry Thrasher will send a note to Mike Fenelon to find out if/how it is possible to handle multiple SHVs for the same environment, the same device class, and [possibly] the same SMI number (i.e., PWG)?

→ **NEW**

Is the NAP Binding document ready [pending a prototype] to be marked “stable”? Although a prototype is still needed, the document appears to be “technically complete”—or at least close. What do we need to do to finish this specification?

It was suggested that we could focus on NEA next. It was noted that there might be an advantage to have another co-Editor for the NEA binding specification. Perhaps it would help the rate of progress on this activity? Jerry volunteered to send a note to Randy about this idea. Will there be an NEA draft available to review at the face-to-face meeting?

Ira suggested that the group might consider other security topics beyond Health Assessment. The following items were raised:

- security issues with regard to remediation
- security as it affects device discovery and advertising
- security issues coming out of the MFD WG
- the initialization process of a Health Assessment system could also be addressed. How do the initial values for Health Assessment get established and distributed?

IDS Working Group
2009-11-12 Conference Call Minutes

9. Next Steps / Next Meetings

December 3.

10. Summary of New Action Items

AI 028: Jerry Thrasher will send a note to Mike Fenelon to find out if/how it is possible to handle multiple SHVs for the same environment, the same device class, and [possibly] the same SMI number (i.e., PWG)?
--