HCD protection profile update

As of May 1 2015:

- Draft 0.9.2 issued

- Two meetings held in Canberra, Australia, during the CCUF-CCDB workshops:
    1. US/JP/KR scheme meeting
    2. Technical (industry) meeting

- In other news

Draft 0.9.2 – and next…

- Issued in mid March with an end-of-March comment deadline
  - Several hundred comments were received
  - Almost the same as were received for 0.9.1 ☹
- More than 150 comments remain open
  - About half are likely simple edits
  - Quite a few are duplicates, already done
- Next draft in mid May
  - Presumably, end-of-May comment deadline
  - If relatively few comments are received, then final publication could happen in June
  - If hundreds of comments are received, then who knows?

US/JP/KR scheme meeting

- April 22, 9AM-10AM AEDT; attendees:
  - Mike Matta / NIAP, Murata-san / IPA, Il-gon Kim / ITSCC, Alan Sukert / Xerox, Kwangwoo Lee / Samsung, and Brian Smithson / Ricoh.
- KR scheme had some issues with the US/JP PP:
  - Crypto is too limiting (algos, protocols, eval): fix in iTC
  - The use of "Exact Compliance": CCDB working on it
- Discussed plans for drafts and final publication
- Planning for an iTC / cPP to follow the US/JP PP
  - All three schemes are interested in co-sponsoring
  - First step is to form a CCDB working group with those schemes to write an ESR
  - IPA wrote an ESR a year ago, needs to update it
  - JP scheme is leading, maybe start in July

Technical (industry) meeting

- April 24, 1PM-3PM AEDT:. Attendees:
  - Alan Sukert / Xerox, Kwangwoo Lee / Samsung, Lachlan Turner / Ark Infosec, and Brian Smithson / Ricoh.
- We worked on issues tagged "access control" and "options" in the master issues list
- "Access control" issues were mainly from HP, and were resolved as follows:
  - From the HCD's perspective, whoever has the necessary credential is a (if not the) Job Owner
  - As used in the AC SFP tables, "Containers" are like Job Data. We sort of don't care about them. So we are removing "containers" from the tables.
- "Options" issues were about image overwrite and purge functions, minor edits fix most of them.

In other news

- Mario Tinto has retired!
- His last day at Aerospace (contracted to NIAP) was April 30.
- On behalf of the MFP TC, IEEE P2600, PWG, etc., I presented (online) him the following certificate.

- *Yes*, I'll follow up with hardcopy.

Multifunction Printer Technical Community

Protection Profile Milestone

is awarded* to

Mario Tinto

for submitting

the One-Thousandth Comment

on the Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices

Date Issued: 2015-04-09

ID	Entry date	Originator	From rev.	Para	One-line summary	Introductory comment	Proposed change	Rationale	Type	Prio
1000	4/8/2015	NIAP (Mario)	0.9.2	231 et seq	Test procedures likely unrealistic	The defined test procedures appear excessive; at least difficult	Define an indirect (i.e., not requiring access to the storage location of the key) way of providing adequate evidence that the key was purged. For example, write a file; read the file; purge the key; attempt to read the file again; get error message or unreadable content.	For disk encryption, the defined test procedures may not be possible even with developer tools	T	C

Original Signed By
_____

Editor, Multifunction Printer Technical Community

* This award is not an endorsement of the comment by any member of the MFP TC,
and no warranty of the Protection Profile is either expressed or implied.