

Finisher MIB Minutes

May 1998

1 Overview

2

3 The PWG Finisher MIB meeting took place Friday, 5/22/98, in Crystal City, VA. Clarifications
4 to the draft and new terminology were reviewed. A proposal from Ira McDonald for handling
5 constrains, although admired, was declined on the basis of being too general for our needs.
6 Instead, specific means for indicating constraints tailored to the Finisher were adopted. The
7 Finisher MIB is still lacking a conformance statement, a compile test and complete IETF like
8 terminology (Should, Shall etc.) to turn it into an Internet Draft. The next meeting will be Friday,
9 July 10 in Monterey. If we need an additional meeting, beyond this one, it will happen either on
10 Tuesday evening in Toronto or at a separate session from the PWG, possibly hosted by one of the
11 participating companies. This should bring the Finisher MIB to a close.
12

13 Present

14

15 Lee Farrell - Canon
16 Ron Bergman - Dataproducts (Editor)
17 Carlos Becerra - HP
18 Tom Hastings - Xerox
19 Harry Lewis - (Chair, Secretary)
20

21 Discussion/Decisions

22

23 We added a new finishing category - "MakeEnvelop" Group 150 to address a new finishing
24 feature that creates envelopes on the fly. This feature was brought to our attention by Carlos.
25

26 Add "NAME" to device attribute entry to allow named configurations (per customer).
27

28 Constraints kept simple rather than adopting Ira's general proposal. Added
29 finMediaTypeCombinationRestriction (14) which lists media/finishing operation mismatches -
30 like don't z-fold a transparency.
31

32 Also agreed to add one more "constraint" attribute that represents which printer inputs the
33 finishing operation relates to (or not). See Tom's proposal or the updated document for syntax.
34

35 Textual conventions for PrtMarkerSuppliesTypeTC need to be same for Printer MIB and
36 Finisher MIB. Need to agree on PWG process for updating the TC in the Printer MIB so the
37 Printer MIB and Finisher MIB may coexist.
38

39 We will not put the attribute table in the alerts. Only 30, 31, 32.
40

41 Need to propose the list for registration and ask for last call.
42

Finisher MIB Minutes

May 1998

43 Reviewed specific SNMP issues - as provided in notes from Tom to Ron. Captured in Ron's
44 markup's.

45

46 We agreed that Finishing Operations (stitch), position (edge) and number (2 or 3) should all be
47 separately described but also to allow generic terms like "CornerStaple", "3HolePunch". These
48 named operations do not address specific placement.

49

50 In the end, there was an issue with coordinate systems, reference edges, offsets etc. We removed
51 the DPA term "Jog Edge" from our documentation at the Portland meeting and probably should
52 not have. We weren't sure, then, how it was used. Now, Carlos has helped us understand how Job
53 Edge relates to describing finishing axis when the printer can feed multiple media sizes and will
54 need to align finishing operations on both a reference and jog edge. "Jog Edge" will be put back
55 in the specification.

56

57

58 **Remaining Topics, Issues, Action Items**

59

- 60 • Everyone should review the entire document for anything missing.
- 61 • Still need conformance statement.
- 62 • Still need to compile
- 63 • Still need to import Should, Shall's etc.
- 64 • Expect one or 2 more meetings

65